

# **Central Highlands Council**

**MINUTES – ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING – 21 MAY 2024** 

Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Central Highlands Council held in the Council Chamber, **Hamilton** on **Tuesday 21<sup>st</sup> May 2024**, commencing at **9.00am**.

# **Table of Contents**

1.	OPENING
2.	AUDIO RECORDING DISCLAIMER
3.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY
4.	PRESENT
5.	IN ATTENDANCE
6.	APOLOGIES
7.	LEAVE OF ABSENCE
8.	PECUNIARY INTEREST DECLARATIONS
9.	PERCEIVED INTEREST DECLARATIONS
10.	CLOSED SESSION OF THE MEETING
11.	MOTION OUT OF CLOSED SESSION
12.	RE-OPEN MEETING TO THE PUBLIC
13.	DEPUTATIONS
14.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME
15.	NOTICE OF MOTIONS7
15.1	NOTICE OF MOTION – CR D MEACHEAM
15.2	NOTICE OF MOTION – CR R CASSIDY
16.	COMMITMENTS
16.1	MAYORAL COMMITMENTS
16.2	COUNCILLOR COMMITMENTS
16.3	GENERAL MANAGER'S COMMITMENTS15
16.4	ACTING AND DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER COMMITMENTS15
17.	NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD
18.	FUTURE WORKSHOPS
19.	MAYORAL ANNOUNCEMENTS
20.	MINUTES
20.1	CONFIRMATION OF DRAFT ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES – 16 APRIL 2024
20.2	RECEIVAL OF DRAFT AUDIT PANEL MEETING MINUTES – 6 MAY 202416
20.3	RECEIVAL OF DRAFT OF PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES – 14 MAY 202416

		2
21.	BUSINESS ARISING – APRIL 2024 COUNCIL MEETING	
22.	DERWENT CATCHMENT PROJECT	
23.	COUNCIL ACTING AS A PLANNING AUTHORITY	<u>)</u>
	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION (DA2023/68) FOR DEMOLITION, CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW DWELLING, ILDING, VISITOR ACCOMMODATION (4 CABINS) AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE AT 14246 LYELL YAY, BRONTE PARK (CT 241772/1), OWNED BY J BUTT & R PARKER	
	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION (DA2024/18) BOAT RAMP & JETTY AT LAND DESCRIBED AS 475 10UNT ROAD, ELLENDALE (182981/0) SUBMITTED BY PDA SURVEYORS AND OWNED BY STRATA RATION 182981 "PARADISE"	ō
24.	ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING RESUMED	1
25.	DEVELOPMENT & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	1
26.	WORKS & SERVICES	5
26.1	WORKS & SERVICES MONTHLY REPORT – APRIL 2024	5
27.	ADMINISTRATION SERVICES	3
27.1	MONTHLY FINANCE REPORT TO 30 APRIL 2024	3
27.2	ANNUAL LEAVE POLICY 2014-28	3
27.3	HEALTHY CATERING POLICY 2014-25	3
27.4	RELATED PARTY DISCLOSURES POLICY 2017-46	1
27.5	ASSET MANAGEMENT POLICY 2018-53	1
27.6	CODE OF CONDUCT FOR MEMBERS OF THE AUDIT PANEL POLICY 2018-55	5
27.7	CENTRAL HIGHLANDS COUNCIL FINANCIAL AUDIT STRATEGY	5
27.8	RATE ASSISTANCE – OUSE COMMUNITY COUNTRY CLUB	5
27.9	IN KIND SUPPORT – BOTHWELL LIONS CLUB	7
28.	SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA ITEMS	)
28.1	NOTICE OF MOTION – CR D MEACHEAM	)
29.	CLOSURE	5

## 1. OPENING

## 2. AUDIO RECORDING DISCLAIMER

As per Regulation 33 (2) (a) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, audio recordings of meetings will be made available to Councillors, staff and members of the wider community including Government Agencies at no charge and will be made available on Council's website as soon as practicable after each Council Meeting. Unlike Parliament, Council meetings are not subject to parliamentary privilege, and both Council and the individual may be liable for comments that may be regarded as offensive, derogatory and/or defamatory.

The Mayor advises the meeting and members of the public that Council Meetings, not including Closed Sessions, are audio recorded and published on Council's Website in accordance with Council's Policy 2017-50.

The Mayor also advises, that members of the public <u>are not</u> permitted to make audio recordings of Council Meetings without prior approval being granted.

## 3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

## 4. PRESENT

Mayor L Triffitt; Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer (attended at 9.10a.m.); Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam and Cr Y Miller

## 5. IN ATTENDANCE

Mr Adam Wilson (Deputy General Manager), Graham Rogers (Development & Environmental Services Manager) and Mrs Katrina Brazendale (Minute Secretary).

## 6. APOLOGIES

Mrs Kim Hossack (General Manager)

## 7. LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

## 8. PECUNIARY INTEREST DECLARATIONS

In accordance with Regulation 8 (7) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Chairperson requests Councillors to indicate whether they or a close associate have or are likely to have a pecuniary interest (any pecuniary or pecuniary detriment) or conflict of interest in any Item of the Agenda.

#### The following reclaration were recorded:

Deputy Mayor J Allwright - Item 23.2 Development Application (Da2024/18) Boat Ramp & Jetty At Land Described As 475 Rockmount Road, Ellendale (182981/0) Submitted By PDA Surveyors And Owned By Strata Corporation 182981 "Paradise"

## 9. PERCEIVED INTEREST DECLARATIONS

Under the **Model Code of Conduct** made by Order of the Minister responsible for Local Government the following will apply to a Councillor –

#### PART 2 – Conflict of Interest that are not Pecuniary.

(6) A Councillor who has an actual, potential or perceived conflict of interest in a matter before the Council must –

(a) Declare the conflict of interest and the nature of the interest before discussion on the matter begins; and
 (b) Act in good faith and exercise reasonable judgement to determine whether a reasonable person would consider that the conflict of interest requires the Councillor to remove himself or herself physically from any Council discussion and remain out of the room until the matter is decided by the Council.

## 10. CLOSED SESSION OF THE MEETING

Regulation 15 (1) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* states that at a meeting, a council by absolute majority, or a council committee by simple majority, may close a part of the meeting to the public for a reason specified in sub-regulation (2).

As per *Regulation 15 (1)* of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, this motion requires an absolute majority.

## **RESOLUTION: 01/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Honner

#### Seconded: Cr J Hall

**THAT** pursuant to Regulation 15 (1) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council, by absolute majority, close the meeting to the public to consider the following matters in Closed Session:

ltem Number	Matter	Outcome
1	Confirmation of the Minutes - Closed Session of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 16 April 2024.	Regulation 15 (2)(G) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 – information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to Council on the condition it is kept confidential.
3.1	Purchase of Land Ellendale for ILU	Regulation 15 (2)(F) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 – Proposals for the council to acquire land or an interest in land or for the disposal of land.
3.2	Update to the Acting Director of Local Government	Regulation 15 (2)(G) - Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential.
3.3	General Manager's Performance Review – Appointment of review consultant	Regulation 15 (2)(G) - Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential.

3.4	Notice of Motion Deputy Mayor J Allwright	Regulation 15 (2)(G) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 – information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to Council on the condition it is kept confidential.
5	Supplementary Agenda Items	Part 2 Regulation 8 (6) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.
6	Consideration of Matters for Disclosure to the Public.	Regulation 15 (8) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 – Wile in a closed meeting, the Council, or Council Committee, is to consider whether any discussions, decisions, reports or documents relating to that closed meeting are to be kept confidential or released to the public, taking into account privacy and confidentiality issues.

## MEETING CLOSED to the public at 9.09 am.

## 11. MOTION OUT OF CLOSED SESSION

## **RESOLUTION 07/05.2024/CC**

Moved: Cr J Honner

Seconded: Cr J Hall

**THAT** the Council:

(1) Having met and dealt with its business formally move out of the Closed Session; and

(2) Resolved to report that it has determined the following:

ltem Number	Matter	Outcome
1	Confirmation of the Minutes - Closed Session of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 16 April 2024.	<b>THAT</b> the Minutes of the Closed Session of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 16 April 2024 be confirmed.
3.1	Purchase of Land Ellendale for ILU	<b>THAT</b> Council approve an additional \$80,000 in the 23/24 Capital Budget to purchase the Land at Ellendale.
3.2	Update to the Acting Director of Local Government	<b>THAT</b> the Mayor on the Council's behalf update the Acting Director of Local Government.
3.3	General Manager's Performance Review – Appointment of Review Consultant	<b>THAT</b> the Council approved the appointment of LG Services Group to conduct the performance review.
3.4	Notice of Motion Deputy Mayor J Allwright	<b>THAT</b> Council move the closed session motion that: "The

Mayor and General Manager hold weekly meeting with the Deputy Mayor or his proxy in
attendance" into open session.

#### CARRIED

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## 12. RE-OPEN MEETING TO THE PUBLIC

The meeting re-opened to the public at **10.00 a.m**. The Mayor again advises, to the meeting and members of the public that Council Meetings, not including Closed Sessions, are audio recorded and published on Council's Website.

Members of the public <u>are not</u> permitted to make audio recordings of Council Meetings without prior approval being granted.

There were three members (3) of the public in the gallery Steve Loring (Miena), Ian Edwards (Ellendale) and Damien Bester (New Norfolk News)

## 13. DEPUTATIONS

Nil

## 14. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

In accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015,* the Council conducts a Public Question Time Forum to enable members of the public to ask question on Council related matters.

A period of 15 minutes, if required, will be set aside at the beginning of each Ordinary Council Meeting to conduct Public Question Time. If a response to a question cannot be provided at the meeting a written response will be provided as soon as practicable.

A member of the public may give written notice to the General Manager, 7 days before a meeting of a question to be put to the Meeting.

The Chairman may invite any member of the public present at a meeting to ask questions, without notice, relating to activities of the Council, subject to the provisions of Clause 2 below.

- 1. Once Question Time commences the Chairman will determine the order in which questions are heard.
- 2. Questions may relate to any business of the Council capable of being discussed in the open portion of the meeting, and which is not listed as an item for consideration on the Agenda for the Council Meeting.
- 3. Members of the public proposing a question are required to be present at the Council Meeting at which their question is to be read. Where a person submits a question for Public Question Time but fails to attend the meeting, the question will be treated as general correspondence and a written response will be provided at the earliest opportunity.
- 4. A person asking a question, when called upon by the Chairman is requested to:
  - Stand,

- Read out their question.
- 5. The Chairman retains the right to accept or decline questions and to determine if the question is to be answered at the meeting by the appropriate Councillor or employee or written down and taken on notice. The decision to take the question on notice may also be taken by the Councillor or employee to whom the question is directed. Questions taken on notice will be answered at a later meeting.
- 6. The Chairman may rule a question inappropriate, and thus inadmissible if in his or her opinion it has already been asked, is unclear, irrelevant, insulting, improper or relates to any matter which would normally be discussed in the closed portion of the meeting as defined in the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.*
- 7. Public Question Time forum will be limited to a maximum of 15 minutes in duration and will be declared closed following the expiration of the allocated time period, or where all valid questions have been dealt with, whichever is the sooner.
- 8. Each question is to be asked by the proponent who will be allowed a maximum of three minutes in which to put the question.
- 9. The Chairman will not allow any discussion or debate on either the question or the response.
- 10. Where a person proposes more than one question at any one forum, and there are a number of persons wishing to lodge questions, the Chairman may take the questions in such order so as to hear as many members of the public as practical during the time allocated.
- 11. The minutes of the Council Meeting will contain a summary of each question asked by members of the public and the response given.
- 12. Public Statements (as opposed to questions) <u>will not</u> be accepted for the reason that statements could be considered a form of participation.

Pertaining to any Planning Authority agenda item within this agenda, Council will do so in accordance with Council's Policy 2017-49.

Both the Public Question Time Procedure above and Council's Policy 2017-49 'Public Comment on Planning Agenda Items' will be available for the public to view at the meeting.

#### The following question was raised by members of the public:-

#### Steve Loring – Question

Accidents on the single lane bridge across the Shannon River near the dam at Miena. Steve is requesting that Council write to the appropriate bodies raising concerns from the ratepayers.

It was resolved that Council write a letter to the State Minister and Local Federal Member

Graham Rogers (Development & Environmental Services Manager) attended the meeting at 10.12 a.m.

## 15. NOTICE OF MOTIONS

Under Regulation 16 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* relating to Motions on Notice. It states the following:

(5) A Councillor may give to the general manager, at least 7 days before a meeting, give written notice of a motion, together with supporting information and reasons, to be included on the agenda of that meeting. general manager of a question in respect of which the councillor seeks an answer at that meeting.

## 15.1 NOTICE OF MOTION – CR D MEACHEAM

## NOTICE OF MOTION

Under Division 2 – Motions, Section 16 (5) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, a Councillor may give to the General Manager, at least 7 days before a meeting, written notice of a motion, together with supporting information and reasons, to be included on the agenda of that meeting.

### **Date of Meeting:**

May 21, 2024

## **Councillor Name:**

David Meacheam

## **Proposed Motion:**

- 1) That Council consider the request given below by Miena resident, Liz Lang.
- 2) That Council consider holding some of its future ordinary meetings in some of the CHC's 'remote' communities.

## **Background Details:**

Re 1), Liz was amongst a number of Miena residents who attended the Town Structure Planning session in Bothwell this year. In the lead up to that meeting, there was extensive discontent expressed in the Central Plateau communities that the planning process would only take place in the lowland towns, - Bothwell, Hamilton and Ouse.

Miena has a five year growth rate of 45%, Derwent Bridge 75%, Bronte Park 75%, Ellendale 18.2%, Hamilton 14%, Ouse 7.6%, and Bothwell 2.8%. Planning surely needs to come with population growth, not after.

If we accept the Tasmanian Grants Commission proposal to consider population estimates to be based on the 'service community', rather than the resident community, then **Miena must be considered as having a population of 650, vs Bothwell's 539.** That estimate of Bothwell's service population is based on the broader Bothwell area, not just the township, as shown here:



The Miena service population figure relates only to the following, taking in Barren Plains Road, but not Little Pine, Liawenee, Tods Corner or the Western Great Lake communities



Other 2016 Census products available for this area:

Re 2), at the national government level, Cabinet occasionally chooses to meet in state capitals or regional centres. Doing so goes beyond showing symbolically a concern for issues in those communities. It also enables locals to better know who is representing them and how government operates. Council could consider emulating the National government practice, meeting perhaps in the Great Lake Community Centre, Miena, Ellendale Hall and Wayatinah. In the instance of Miena, we could schedule a meeting for January, February or March next year, and follow the meeting of Council with a town structure consultation. Councillors would then be available to stay, for the consultation.

Liz Lang's request is given below:

Signature:	Davíd Meacheam
Date:	3/5/24

Residential P.O. Box 112, address: Longford 7301. 109 Thiessen Cres. 23-03-2024. Miena 7030. Atta: Cenaral Highlands Mayor, Lon Triffitt. All councillors. Dear all: As a concerned cirizen and resident of Miera, I would exhort you to definitely hold further Townships Structure Planning Project meetings in other parts of the central Highlands. I attended the Both well session (12/03/24), which was interesting. However, the situation as regards communities such as Miena differ considerably. A 'Round Two'series of meetings would reassure many of us that our voices are able to be heard, and that we feel part of our areas future development proposals and strategies. A meeting, to be held during January, February, or March 2025 in Miena would be greatly appreciated. This specific time enables more shack owners to attend. The session also requires advertising many months in advance to ensure word is spread that it is to occur. "The Highland Digest" is The best means to contact people. Could this request be tabled, via meeting agenda items, for The immediate future. Thanking you in anticipation, and confident That The many rate payers in This part of The Central Highlands will see a meeting held in Miena-Yours sincerely, Elizabeth Lang.

## **RESOLUTION: 02/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr D Meacheam

Seconded: Cr Y Miller

- 1. That Council consider the request given below by Miena resident, Liz Lang.
- 2. That Council consider holding some of its future ordinary meetings in some of the CHC's 'remote' communities.

CARRIED 8/1

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## Against the Motion

Cr R Cassidy

## 15.2 NOTICE OF MOTION – CR R CASSIDY

# NOTICE OF MOTION

Under Division 2 – Motions, Section 16 (5) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, a Councillor may give to the General Manager, at least 7 days before a meeting, written notice of a motion, together with supporting information and reasons, to be included on the agenda of that meeting.

Date of Meeting:	21 May 2024	
Councillor Name:	Robert Cassidy	
Proposed Motion:	Request Mayor and General Manager contact Department of State Growth to install a sign to suggest to Heavy Vehicle/Prime Mover drivers to "Avoid Using Engine Brake in Residential Area" on all approaches to Gretna, Hamilton, Ouse, Miena and Bothwell.	
Background Details:	Generally, heavy vehicles fitted with a good muffler system cause minimal noise when the Engine Brake is used. Engine Brakes are an integral part of a truck's braking system.	
	The issue of traffic noise is of some concern particularly to residents living on or in the vicinity of a Highland Lakes Road/Patrick Street. Heavy vehicles are a major contributor to intermittent peak noise events, particularly at night, when residents are trying to watch television, listen to music or sleep and ambient noise levels are low.	
	The drivers are using the Engine Brakes from a long way off, from the Pub With No Beer new bypass even when the trucks are empty, as I have observed and heard. They can be heard across town.	
	TRUCKS AVOID USING ENGINE BRAKES	
Signature:		
	Alter Juie Casichy	
Date:	26 April 2024	

Cr R Cassidy has put a request forward that the Mayor and General Manager contact the Department of State Growth to have signs installed to suggest to heavy vehicle drivers to "Avoid Using Engine Brake In Residential Area". Please see attached Notice Of Motion.

Councilor Cassidy suggests these signs be installed at both approaches on the Highlands Lake Road and Lyell Highway coming into Bothwell, Gretna, Hamilton, Ouse and Miena.

After inspection it has been sighted that Hamilton already has signs installed in place at both approaches.

As all towns are situated on State owned roads Council will have no control on the decision if signs are justified or not.

## **RESOLUTION: 03/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr R Cassidy

Seconded: Cr J Hall

**THAT** the Mayor and General Manager contact the Department of State Growth to have signs installed to suggest to heavy vehicle drivers to "Avoid Using Engine Brake In Residential Area".

#### CARRIED

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## 16. COMMITMENTS

## **16.1 MAYORAL COMMITMENTS**

### 11 April 2024 to 16 May 2024

15 April 2024 16 April 2024	Onsite Meeting Ellendale with Deputy General Manager Ordinary Council Meeting (Bothwell)
17 April 2024	Telemeeting with LGAT
19 April 2024	Telemeeting with LGAT
23 April 2024	Budget Workshop (Bothwell)
25 April 2024	Anzac Services (Gretna & Bothwell)
29 April 2024	Meeting General Manager and Deputy Mayor
30 April 2024	Budget Workshop (Bothwell)
8 May 2024	Meeting – Director Local Government
14 May 2024	Planning Committee & Workshop (Bothwell)

- Business of Council x 22
- Ratepayer and community members communications x 4
- Elected Members communications x 9
- Council Management communications x 2

## FOR INFORMATION

## **16.2 COUNCILLOR COMMITMENTS**

#### Deputy Mayor J Allwright

- 16 April 2024 Ordinary Council Meeting (Bothwell)
- 19 April 2024 Meeting with Local Government Office (Bothwell)
- 23 April 2024 Budget Workshop (Bothwell)
- 25 April 2024 Anzac Services (Fentonbury & Hamilton)
- 30 April 2024 Budget Workshop (Bothwell)
- 6 May 2024 Audit Panel Meeting (Hamilton)
- 14 May 2024 Planning Committee & Workshop (Bothwell)

#### 19 April 2024 Meeting with Local Government Office (Bothwell) Budget Workshop (Bothwell) 23 April 2024 Anzac Services (Fentonbury & Hamilton) 25 April 2024 30 April 2024 Budget Workshop (Bothwell) 6 May 2024 Audit Panel Meeting (Hamilton) 14 May 2024 Planning Committee & Workshop (Bothwell) Cr R Cassidv 16 April 2024 Ordinary Council Meeting (Bothwell) 19 April 2024 Meeting with Local Government Office (Bothwell) 23 April 2024 Budget Workshop (Bothwell) 30 April 2024 Budget Workshop (Bothwell) 14 May 2024 Planning Committee & Workshop (Bothwell) Cr J Hall 16 April 2024 Ordinary Council Meeting (Bothwell) 19 April 2024 Meeting with Local Government Office (Bothwell) 23 April 2024 Budget Workshop (Bothwell) 25 April 2024 Anzac Services (Arthurs Lake & Bothwell) 30 April 2024 Budget Workshop (Bothwell) 14 May 2024 Planning Committee & Workshop (Bothwell) Cr J Honner 16 April 2024 Ordinary Council Meeting (Bothwell) 19 April 2024 Meeting with Local Government Office (Bothwell) 23 April 2024 Budget Workshop (Bothwell) 25 April 2024 Anzac Services (Arthurs Lake & Bothwell) Budget Workshop (Bothwell) 30 April 2024 14 May 2024 Planning Committee & Workshop (Bothwell) Cr D Meacheam Ordinary Council Meeting (Bothwell) 16 April 2024 Meeting with Local Government Office (Bothwell) 19 April 2024 23 April 2024 Budget Workshop (Bothwell) Budget Workshop (Bothwell) 30 April 2024 Planning Committee & Workshop (Bothwell) 14 May 2024 Government Policy and Intergovernmental Relations Division.

Ordinary Council Meeting (Bothwell)

Ordinary Council Meeting (Bothwell)

Anzac Services (Bothwell)

Budget Workshop (Bothwell)

Meeting with Local Government Office (Bothwell)

Planning Committee & Workshop (Bothwell)

Beta testing of module five, parts A, B, C, of the Councillor training and development program, Office of Local Written input to the Audit meeting of May 6

Cr	Υ	Miller

Cr A Archer 16 April 2024

19 April 2024

25 April 2024

30 April 2024

14 May 2024

Cr A Bailey 16 April 2024

16 April 2024	Ordinary Council Meeting (Bothwell)
19 April 2024	Meeting with Local Government Office (Bothwell)
23 April 2024	Budget Workshop (Bothwell)
25 April 2024	Anzac Service (Gretna & Hamilton)
30 April 2024	Budget Workshop (Bothwell)
14 May 2024	Planning Committee & Workshop (Bothwell)

## 16.3 GENERAL MANAGER'S COMMITMENTS

Date	With Whom	Subject / Comment
3 May 2024 – 29 May 2024		On Leave

## FOR INFORMATION

## 16.4 ACTING AND DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER COMMITMENTS

Date	With Whom	Subject / Comment
18 April 2024	Management Members	Staff Budget & Finance
		Discussions at Hamilton
19 April 2024	A/g Executive Director, Council and	Meeting between A/g Executive
	Deputy General Manager	Director and Councillors
22 April 2024	State Government and Council	Meeting with Tasmanian Audit
	Officers	Office
22 April 2024	Electrona Pty Ltd and Deputy	Discussion of EV Charging and
	General Manager	Energy Solution Grant Funding
		Application
23 April 2024	Council and Management Members	Budget Workshop
30 April 2024	Council and Management Members	Budget Workshop
6 May 2024	Councillors, Audit Panel Chair, State	Audit Panel Meeting
	Government and Council Officers	_
16 May 2024	SWN Officers and Management	SWN visit to Central Highlands
	Members	Council (discuss Accountant
		position)
21 May 2024	Council and Management Members	Council Meeting

## FOR INFORMATION

## 17. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD

A Council Workshop was held on 23 April 2024, 30 April 2024, and 14 May 2024. The following items were discussed –

- 2024-25 Budget Deliberations
- Australian Renewable Energy Alliance
- General Managers Proformance Review with Simmons Wolfhagen

## 18. FUTURE WORKSHOPS

The proposed next Council Workshop will be held on the following date/s -

- 28 May 2024
- 11 June 2024

## **19. MAYORAL ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Council received a sponsorship appreciation plaque from the Bothwell Cricket club

## 20. MINUTES

## 20.1 CONFIRMATION OF DRAFT ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES – 16 APRIL 2024

## **RESOLUTION: 04/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Honner

Seconded: Cr Y Miller

THAT the Draft Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on Tuesday 19 March 2024 be confirmed.

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## 20.2 RECEIVAL OF DRAFT AUDIT PANEL MEETING MINUTES - 6 MAY 2024

## **RESOLUTION: 05/05.2024/C**

Moved: Deputy Mayor J Allwright Seconded: Cr D Meacheam

THAT the Draft Minutes of the Audit Panel Meeting of Council held on Monday 6 May 2024 be received.

#### CARRIED

CARRIED

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## 20.3 RECEIVAL OF DRAFT OF PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES – 14 MAY 2024

## **RESOLUTION: 06/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr R Cassidy

Seconded: Cr J Hall

**THAT** the Draft Minutes of the Planning Committee Meeting of Council held on Tuesday 14 May 2024 be received.

#### For the Motion

CARRIED

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

Jason Branch (Works and Services Manager) attended the meeting at 10.46 a.m.

## 21. BUSINESS ARISING – APRIL 2024 COUNCIL MEETING

## Business Arising - actions undertaken.

15.1	NOTICE OF MOTION – DEPUTY MAYOR J ALLWRIGHT	Actioned
26.2	BOTHWELL ROAD RACE	Actioned
27.2	LICENCE AGREEMENT WITH TASMANIAN WATER AND SEWERAGE CORPORATION PTY LTD (TASWATER)	Actioned
27.3	INQUIRY INTO LOCAL GOVERNMENT SUSTAINABILITY	Actioned
27.4	COMMUNITY DONATIONS APPLICATION – COOPER SMYTHE	Actioned
27.5	COMMUNITY DONATIONS APPLICATION – HUDSON STOTT	Actioned

## FOR INFORMATION

## 22. DERWENT CATCHMENT PROJECT



# Derwent Catchment Project Monthly Report for Central Highlands Council April 17th – May 15th <u>2024</u>

## General business

The DCP successfully obtained the FRRR – Strengthening Rural Communities application for funds to install conference IT capabilities and an internet upgrade at the Hamilton Resource Centre. The DCP will begin installing this and ensure it is ready for use by all.

The financial year is ending, so the DCP team has been reviewing all our projects and their progress. We are pleased to say that there has been great progress. As part of our commitments to all stakeholders and the council, the DCP will produce reports on major projects and an overall report for the year.

Morgan and Stuart have been in conversation with the Friends of Great Lake to discuss weed management and upskilling the community in their ability to identify and treat weeds around the Miena region. A workshop has been booked for early June.

Karen ran her first of three market stalls this past weekend to help our nursery reach a greater audience. The market days are a great way to sell plants, but more importantly, they create great opportunities to connect with the community and discuss land management.

Eve has been part of a documentary series about the cider gum (the weeping tree) which has also showcased the beauty of the Central Highlands. Eve was part of a panel discussion at the State Cinema in Hobart for a sold-out debut screening of the series. The video episode of featuring the work of the Derwent Catchment Project is available on YouTube <a href="https://youtu.be/bJSUnTQ-JHo">https://youtu.be/bJSUnTQ-JHo</a>

# Central Highlands Weeds Program

Strategic Actions 4.4 Continue the program of weed reduction in the Central Highlands, and 4.7 Support and assist practical programs that address existing environmental problems and improve the environment.



The ground team have been working through the final eradication zones, focusing on gorse and broom. Miena is the last zone to receive broom and gorse treatment and will be targeted over the coming month. Inspection of Dee Lagoon showed that the areas receiving weed treatment did not get burnt, and as such, the team have been returning to the site. The weather has impeded spraying days. To help mitigate this, the new focus will be on manual control, including the large Holly patch.

#### Tarraleah Broom control: Weed Action Fund

The broom infestation at Tarraleah has required extensive work through spraying, manual cots, and paste. The infestation was incredibly thick in some areas, and the overall footprint made gaining access challenging. There is still plenty of follow-up work to be done, but the primary control is in its final stages.



Tarraleah broom control - WAF program



Stakeholder weed programs in the Central Highlands.

# Poatina. Although there were less than 100 plants, it was a very important site to treat as each plant can produce over 70,000 seeds. This site will be added to our monitoring program for TasNetworks.

**TasNetworks** 

Poatina ragwort control for TasNetworks

Page 215

# Agriculture

Strategic Actions 4.7 Support and assist practical programs that address existing environmental problems and improve the environment.

#### Farming Forecaster extension – funded by NRM South



Willow Warrior working bee 11th of May 2024

DCP attended two workshops run by NRM South on maintaining livestock in dry times. The first at St Peters Pass was attended by about 8 producers. The next day, 30 came to the shearing shed at Wetheron near Bothwell. Livestock consultant Phil Graham offered insights from farming forecaster and nutrition calculator Grazfeed to help with feed budgeting. Georgia McCarthy from Pinion Advisory covered grazing management with help on local context from Peter Ball. Sam Bye promoted the containment feeding project and helped with some insights in an extended discussion at the Wetheron containment facility.

#### Derwent Pasture Network - funded by the Derwent Catchment Project

Recent work on the pasture network has included some site maintenance and pasture condition observations at our fertiliser test strips. One higher fertility site dominated by perennial grasses does have some biomass grown since January in response to summer storms, albeit only 700kg DM/ha at last measure. Our low fertility site has been largely dormant. The plots are currently being harvested and cleaned up in preparation for the break of season.

#### Containment Project – funded by NRM South (Farming Forecaster) and the Tas Farm Innovation Hub

Sam Bye and Sarah White have been able to use new capacity and networks from the containment project to deliver advice directly to growers on containment fencing and nutrition. This has enabled informed drought strategy decisions for the four growers they worked with in April. This will be used as a platform to reach more people who have expressed an interest in the service by the end of June.

Page 3|5

# Restoration and Conservation

Strategic Actions: 4.1 Continue to fund and support the Derwent Catchment Project and 4.7 Support and assist practical programs that address existing environmental problems and improve the environment.



Willow Warrior working bee 11th of May 2024

Nursery update

The nursery expansion is nearing completion of stage 1, with the shed and potting bay construction now complete. Recent sales to Glamorgan Spring Bay Council (GSBC) have shown how much demand there is for native tube stock, with the GSBC purchasing an initial 1000 tube stock. The GSBC has expressed interest in forming an ongoing supply relationship with the DCP and wishes to purchase all future native plants through the nursery at Hamilton. Ongoing restoration work has required large volumes of tube stock from the nursery, with 800 plants going out the door and into the Derwent

The Derwent Catchment Project has developed and implemented a restoration project for the Tyenna River within Maydena with support from

kilometre section of the Tyenna River in the upper reaches, focusing on removing woody debris from

the river. On Saturday, May 11th, the Willow

next two years.

Warriors had a working bee to plant 200 trees to help kick-start the riparian vegetation zone. This planting was the first of many, continuing over the

Inland Fisheries and SFM/Leanah Estates. Extensive work has been completed on a 2-

New potting shed at the Hamilton Nursery

catchment landscape. Demand is steadily increasing since the DCP has guaranteed a supply of native tube stock to the public and other potential buyers. The DCP had a nursery stall at the recent New Norfolk market, with high public engagement, interest, and sales.

Page 4 5

## Tyenna River Recovery – Willow Warriors – supported by IFS, SFM, DV council and Tassal

21

# Grant applications

#### FRRR - Strengthening Rural Communities - \$10 k - Successful

This application is seeking funds to install conference IT capabilities and an internet upgrade at the Hamilton Resource Centre.

#### Disaster Ready Fund – Australian Government through DPAC Tasmania - \$1.97 million - pending

This project will build on significant stormwater upgrades in Bothwell and two bridge infrastructure projects on the Clyde River being undertaken by Council to reduce flood risk. The project will remove 20 km of willows in 2 phases: 1) targeted control through the townships of Hamilton and Bothwell and 2) drill and fill and/removal of willows at 5 pinch points along the Clyde River on farming land. Willow control will be followed by strategic revegetation efforts to improve riverbank stability. Individual flood plans will be developed in consultation with key landholders to improve community preparedness.

Please don't hesitate to call us if you have any queries about our programs.

Yours Sincerely,

The Derwent Catchment Team

Key Contacts:

Josie Kelman (Co Executive Officer) 0427 044 700 Eve Lazarus (Co Executive Officer) 0429 170 048 Morgan McPherson (Works Manager) 0418 667 426 Stuart Rose (Restoration Manager) 0401 098 080 Karen Phillips (Nursery Manager) 0400 039 303

## **RESOLUTION: 07/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr D Meacheam

Seconded: Cr Y Miller

**THAT** the Derwent Catchment Project Report for March 2024 be received and a thank you letter be sent to Josie and the crew from Council.

CARRIED

### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## 23. COUNCIL ACTING AS A PLANNING AUTHORITY

In accordance with Regulation 25(1) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Mayor advises that the Council intends to act as a Planning Authority under the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, to is to be noted.

In accordance with Regulation 25, the Council will act as a Planning Authority in respect to those matters appearing under Item 23 on this agenda, inclusive of any supplementary items.

## **RESOLUTION: 08/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Honner

Seconded: Cr R Cassidy

THAT Council now act as a Planning Authority.

## For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## 23.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION (DA2023/68) FOR DEMOLITION, CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW DWELLING, OUTBUILDING, VISITOR ACCOMMODATION (4 CABINS) AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE AT 14246 LYELL HIGHWAY, BRONTE PARK (CT 241772/1), OWNED BY J BUTT & R PARKER

#### AUTHOR

Senior Planning Officer (Louisa Brown)

#### APPROVED BY

Manger of Development & Environmental Services (Graham Rogers)

#### ATTACHMENTS

- Development Application Documents
- Representation 1
- Representation 2
- Representation 3

#### DISCRETIONS

- 20.3.1 P1, P2, P3 & P4
- C7.6.1 P1.1, P3
- C7.6.2 P1.1 & P1.2

#### PROPOSAL

An application has been made to Council by the property owners J Butt & R Parker to demolish the existing dwelling, construct a new dwelling, outbuilding and 4 cabins to be used as Visitor Accommodation on the property 14246 Lyell Highway (CT 241772/1). The application also includes internal roads as infrastructure.

The documents provided with the Development Application include the following:

- Completed Development Application Form
- Certificate of Title documents
- Site Plans and Elevations
- Response to Discretionary Use of Rural Zone
- Flora & Fauna Report Prepared by RMCG, Launceston
- Bushfire Hazard Report prepared by ES & D
- Geo-Environmental Assessment prepared by GES

This report will assess the proposal against the relevant provisions of the Act and the Planning Scheme. It is recommended that Council grant a planning permit for the development application subject to conditions.

#### THE SITE

The property has an area of 19.91ha and is located from the Lyell Highway, 7km west of the junction of the Lyell Highway with Fourteen Mile Road and 10km east of 'The Wall' Derwent Bridge.

#### CARRIED

An existing dwelling is located 50m parallel to the Highway in the bottom north west corner of the property, it is proposed to be removed. An existing vehicular access to this structure is also present, however this will be removed or blocked and a new access provided.

Areas of Private Timber Production land form the northern and eastern property boundaries and land identified as Future Potential Production Forest form the Southern property boundary. Adjacent to the western boundary is a property zoned Landscape Conservation in the Tasmanian Planning Scheme and a second title under the property address, which is zoned Rural.

Maps 1, 2 and 3 below indicate the location, zoning and code overlays of the property and immediate area.



Map 1\_ The subject land area and surrounds shown in pink is zoned Rural, surrounding properties are in the Landscape Conservation Zone (green) (source: thelist. 05/05/24)



Map 2\_ The subject land area shaded blue with the Bushfire Prone Area Code Overlay (black lines), Natural Assets Code, Waterway and Coastal Protection Area (light blue lines) Natural Assets Code, Priority Vegetation (green lines) (source:thelist. 05/05/24)



Map 3\_ The subject land area aerial photograph (source: thelist. 05/05/24)

### **USE/DEVELOPMENT DEFINITION**

The proposed use and development is defined, under the Tasmanian Planning Scheme (The Planning Scheme), as 'residential' and 'Visitor Accommodation' as below:

Residential use of land for self-contained or shared accommodation. Examples include a single dwelling.

Visitor Accommodation use of land for providing short or medium-term accommodation for persons away from their normal place of residence on a commercial basis or otherwise available to the general public at no cost. Examples include holiday cabin.

(Extract: Tasmanian Planning Scheme - Central Highlands May 2024)

**Use/Development Status under the Planning Scheme** 

- Under the Tasmanian Planning Scheme Central Highlands, a Development Application for Residential development and Visitor Accommodation in the Rural Zone is permitted with Qualifications. These qualifications cannot be met, therefore the application is Discretionary.
- As a discretionary development, the application was advertised in accordance with Section 57 of the Act. Council has the discretion to grant a permit or refuse to grant a permit.

### PUBLIC NOTIFICATION AND REPRESENTATIONS

The application was advertised from 19<sup>th</sup> March until 8<sup>th</sup> April, the notification period was extended beyond the 14 days to allow for the Easter Public Holidays. During which time three (3) representations were received and are summarised in the table below. Please refer to enclosure to view the full copies of representations received.

Public	Public Notice Period 19 <sup>th</sup> March to 8 <sup>th</sup> April		
Repres	sentation 1	Council Planning Officer Comment	
complia and inc	es the development due to several non- ances with the Tasmanian Planning Scheme consistency with the Southern Tasmania al Land Use Strategy (STRLUS). Visitor accommodation is incompatible with the zone purpose and does not meet the Performance Criteria for a Discretionary use in the zone. A lack of information has been provided by the applicant to address the Performance Criteria, therefore a Planning permit should be refused.	The Southern Tasmania Regional Land Use Strategy documents are intended to guide land use, development, and infrastructure investment decision across the region. The STRLUS guides growth and informs the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Central Highlands and the Local Provisional Strategy (LPS) for Central Highlands. The Dwelling and Visitor Accommodation is not assessed against the STLUS, but the Planning Scheme and the LPS.	
2.	<ul> <li>The location of the Visitor Accommodation fails to;</li> <li>a) Minimise adverse impacts on surrounding uses as required in the zone;</li> <li>b) Avoid or minimise adverse impacts on natural assets within the waterway &amp; coastal protection area; and</li> <li>c) Minimise adverse impacts on priority vegetation within a Priority vegetation area.</li> </ul>	As a discretionary development application, the decision to grant or refuse a Planning Permit will be determined by Council. This decision will be based upon the assessment of the information provided by the applicant to Council by Council's Planning Officer. Council has determined that there is adequate information to assess the proposal against the Planning Scheme.	
3.	The location of the visitor accommodation away from the existing settlement is inconsistent with STRLUS policy T1.3, SRD1 and Table 3.	Assessment against the objectives and Standards of the applicable Zone and Codes of the Scheme is provided below in this report.	
4.	The Flora & Fauna Report, including its Planning Scheme Assessment contains errors of fact and lacks objectivity in its findings.	The applicant has provided a Flora and Fauna Report prepared by a suitably qualified person, as required by the Scheme. The information contained within the report is adequate and informs the assessment of the proposal against the	
5.	As there are no permit conditions that could make the proposed development compliant with the Planning Provisions and STRLUS policies, it is contended that the Planning Authority should refuse to grant a permit.	Scheme in the remainder of this report. Within the representation, reference is also made to the Bushfire Hazard Management Plan, however as the dwelling and Visitor Accommodation is not a Vulnerable Use or Hazardous Use as defined in the Code, Bushfire Risk is not assessed at the Planning Stage.	

The property is adjacent to a Permeant Timber Production Zone Land (PTPZL) and will be subject to noise and heavy vehicle movements outside of business hours. It should also be noted that as Timber Production land, once harvested, the visual amenity of the area will change.	Comment noted.
Representation 3	Council Planning Officer Comment
5. The area floods, this is problematic for residential activity.	The property contains areas which are within the Waterway & Coastal Protection Area, however they are not identified as Flood Zone. The Waterway & Coastal Protection Area assessment is provided below.
4. Some works have already been undertaken.	Council takes note of the comment that some works have already been undertaken.
<ol> <li>The application lacks detail in terms of reference to 'low impact'. In such a sensitive environment I would expect no impact.</li> </ol>	The wording in the Zone Assessment of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme is 'low impact', therefore Council can only respond to the wording in the scheme.
<ol> <li>There are many animals, some listed on the NRE threatened species act found in the locality. I am concerned of the effect of the development on these species in terms of habitat range and feeding areas.</li> </ol>	The comment regarding existing fauna and loss of habitat is noted. These concerns are addressed in the zone assessment and Code assessment in this report to Council.
<ol> <li>Perhaps the land owner would consider a formal covenant placed on their property to enhance their application and complement the natural beauty of the area.</li> </ol>	14246 Lyell Highway does not contain any Covenants on the land. The adoption of Covenants would be for the property owner to consider, outside of the Planning Process.
Because of the zoning of our land, we have signed a Covenant Agreement with the state government as a part of the Private Land Conservation Programme.	Council Flamming Officer Comment
Representation 2	The representation also discusses the hazard of the existing vehicular access. As a State Growth Road, the Department of State Growth have been referred the Development Application and placed Conditions on the blocking of the existing access and the creation of a new access, these are contained with the recommended Conditions of the Planning Permit.

## **ASSESSMENT – TASMANIAN PLANNING SCHEME – CENTRAL HIGHLANDS**

#### **Rural Zone**

The subject site is in the Rural Zone of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Central Highlands.

The use of the proposal residential and visitor accommodation are both discretionary uses in this zone. Accordingly, the proposal must satisfy the requirements of the following <u>relevant</u> use and development standards of this zone:

## 20.3 Use Standards

### 20.3.1 Discretionary Uses

- That the location, scale and intensity of a use listed as Discretionary:
- (a) is required for operational reasons;
- (b) does not unreasonably confine or restrain the operation of uses on adjoining properties;
- (c) is compatible with agricultural use and sited to minimise conversion of agricultural land; and
- (d) is appropriate for a rural location and does not compromise the function of surrounding settlements.

Acceptable Solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
Acceptable Solutions A1 A use listed as Discretionary, excluding Residential, is for an alteration or extension to an existing use, if: (a) the gross floor area does not increase by more than 30% from that existing at the effective date; and (b) the development area does not increase by more than 30% from that existing at the effective date.	<ul> <li>Performance Criteria</li> <li>P1 <ul> <li>A use listed as Discretionary, excluding Residential, must require a rural location for operational reasons, having regard to:</li> <li>(a) the nature, scale and intensity of the use;</li> <li>(b) the importance or significance of the proposed use for the local community;</li> <li>(c) whether the use supports an existing agricultural use;</li> <li>(d) whether the use requires</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	OFFICER COMMENT Visitor accommodation is discretionary, as the permitted qualification could not be met. The proposal must be assessed against Performance Criteria P1. Residential use is excluded from P1. There is no existing agriculture use on the property and the applicant states that due to existing vegetation and topography the property is unsuitable agricultural use. The proposed Visitor
	<ul> <li>(d) whether the use requires close proximity to infrastructure or natural resources; and</li> <li>(e) whether the use requires separation from other uses to minimise impacts.</li> </ul>	Accommodation is for 4 cabins of 110m <sup>2</sup> and 80m <sup>2</sup> and will include an access track and car parking. The property area is 19.91ha, the development of a section of the property for Visitor Accommodation use is considered to be small in scale and relative intensity. The visitor accommodation may bring additional employment opportunities to
		the local community and visitors to the wider community, therefore supporting local business. The use does not require to be close to infrastructure or natural resources, however it is noted that the surrounding natural resources are of benefit to the proposed use.
		As the land to the north and the east of the property is productive timber plantations, the location of the visitor accommodation away from these uses is beneficial. The remainder of the property then

		acts as a separation between the two uses. The application meets the Performance Criteria.
A2 No Acceptable Solution	P2 A use listed as Discretionary must not confine or restrain existing use on adjoining properties, having regard to: (a) the location of the proposed use; (b) the nature, scale and intensity of the use; (c) the likelihood and nature of any adverse impacts on adjoining uses; (d) whether the proposed use is required to support a use for security or operational reasons; and (e) any off site impacts from adjoining uses.	Both residential and visitor accommodation uses are listed as discretionary, as the permitted qualification could not be met. The proposal must be assessed against Performance Criteria P2. The use on adjoining properties is productive timber, of which the dwelling is located several hundred of meters away. AN advice note will be added to the conditions of the permit which states that the surrounding land maybe subject to noise. Land zoned Landscape Conservation is located adjoining the property to the western boundary. There are no existing uses on this property which will be effected. All proposed development will be contained within the property 14246 Lyell Highway. The application meets the Performance Criteria.
A3 No Acceptable Solution	<b>P3</b> A use listed as Discretionary, located on agricultural land, must minimise conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural use and be compatible with agricultural use, having regard to:	Both residential and visitor accommodation uses are listed as discretionary, as the permitted qualification could not be met. The proposal must be assessed against Performance Criteria P3 The property is not used for
	<ul> <li>(a) the nature, scale and intensity of the use;</li> <li>(b) the local or regional significance of the agricultural land; and</li> <li>(c) whether agricultural use on adjoining properties will be confined or restrained.</li> </ul>	No adjoining properties contain Agricultural land. The application meets the Performance Criteria.
A4 No Acceptable Solution.	<b>P4</b> A use listed as Discretionary, excluding Residential, must be	Visitor accommodation is discretionary, as the permitted qualification could not be met.

<ul> <li>appropriate for a rural location, having regard to:</li> <li>(a) the nature, scale and intensity of the proposed use;</li> <li>(b) whether the use will compromise or distort the activity centre hierarchy;</li> <li>(c) whether the use could reasonably be located on land zoned for that purpose;</li> <li>(d) the capacity of the local road network to accommodate the traffic generated by the use; and</li> </ul>	The proposal must be assessed against Performance Criteria P4.The proposed visitor accommodation is appropriate for the property as it is a small scale development on a larger property.There is no "hierarchy of activity centres, therefore the proposal will not compromise the function of surrounding settlements.The visitor accommodation could not be located on land zoned for that purpose because the predominant
(e) whether the use requires a rural location to minimise impacts from the use, such as noise, dust and lighting.	Rural. Traffic generated by the proposal is relatively low and is therefore likely to be accommodated on the Lyell Highway.
	The use does not require a rural location to minimise impacts from the use. The application meets the Performance Criteria.

<ul> <li>20.4 Development Standards for Buildings and Works</li> <li>20.4.1 Building height</li> <li>To provide for a building height that: <ul> <li>(a) is necessary for the operation of the use; and</li> <li>(b) minimises adverse impacts on adjoining properties.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
Acceptable Solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT	
A1 Building height must be not more than 12m.	necessary for the operation of the use and not cause an	under 12m. The proposal complies with the	

20.4.2 Setbacks			
Acceptable Solutions	nises potential conflict with use on Performance Criteria	or adjoining sites.	
A1	P1		
Buildings must have a setback from all boundaries of: (a) not less than 5m; or (b) if the setback of an existing building is within 5m, not less than the existing building.	Buildings must be sited to provide adequate vehicle access and not cause an unreasonable impact on existing use on adjoining properties, having regard to: (a) the bulk and form of the building; (b) the nature of existing use on the adjoining properties; (c) separation from existing use on the adjoining properties; and (d) any buffers created by natural or other features.	The development of dwelling, outbuilding and cabins meets the minimum setback of 5m to all boundaries. The proposal complies with the Acceptable Solution A1.	
A2 Buildings for a sensitive use must be separated from an Agriculture Zone a distance of: (a) not less than 200m; or (b) if an existing building for a sensitive use on the site is within 200m of that boundary, not less than the existing building.	<ul> <li>P2</li> <li>Buildings for a sensitive use must be sited so as not to conflict or interfere with an agricultural use within the Agriculture Zone, having regard to: <ul> <li>(a) the size, shape and topography of the site;</li> <li>(b) the prevailing setbacks of any existing buildings for sensitive uses on adjoining properties;</li> <li>(c) the location of existing buildings on the site;</li> <li>(d) the existing and potential use of adjoining properties;</li> <li>(e) any proposed attenuation measures; and</li> <li>(f) any buffers created by natural or other features.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The proposal does include a sensitive use, a dwelling, however the surrounding zones are not Agriculture. The proposal complies with the Acceptable Solution A1.	

20.4.3 Access for New Dwellings			
That new dwellings have appropriate vehicular access to a road maintained by a road authority.			
Acceptable Solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT	
A1	P1		
New dwellings must be located	New dwellings must have legal	The proposal complies with the	
on lots that have	access, by right of	Acceptable Solution A1.	
frontage with access to a road	carriageway, to a road		
maintained by a road authority.	maintained by a road authority		
	that is appropriate, having		
	regard to:		
	(a) the number of users of the		
	access;		
	(b) the length of the access;		
	(c) the suitability of the access		
	for use by the		
	occupants of the dwelling;		
	(d) the suitability of the access		
	for emergency		
	services vehicles;		
	(e) the topography of the site;		

(f) the construction and maintenance of the access;	
(g) the construction, maintenance and usage of the	
road; and (h) any advice from a road	
authority.	

## Parking and Sustainable Transport Code

The Code applies to all use and development. The proposal must satisfy the requirements of the following relevant development standards:

C2.5 Use Standards		
C2.5.1 Car parking numbers		
That an appropriate level of car parking spaces are provided to meet the needs of the use.		
Acceptable solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
A1	P1.1	
The number of on-site car	The number of on-site car	The number of car parking
parking spaces must be no less	parking spaces for uses,	spaces required for the
than the number specified in	excluding dwellings, must meet	dwelling is two (2) which are
Table C2.1, excluding if:	the reasonable needs of the	easily accommodated on the
(a) the site is subject to a	use, having regard to:	property adjacent to the
parking plan for the area	(a) the availability of off-	dwelling or outbuilding.
adopted by council, in which	street public car parking	
case parking provision (spaces	spaces within reasonable	The number of car parking
or cash-in-lieu) must be in	walking distance of the site;	spaces required for visitor
accordance with that plan;	(b) the ability of multiple	accommodation is One (1)
(b) the site is contained	users to share spaces because	space per accommodation
within a parking precinct plan	of:	unit. The proposal allows for
and subject to Clause C2.7;	(i) variations in car	two spaces per unit.
(c) the site is subject to	parking demand over time; or	
Clause C2.5.5; or	(ii) efficiencies gained by	The proposal meets the
(d) it relates to an	consolidation of car parking	acceptable solution A1.
intensification of an existing	spaces;	
use or development or a	(c) the availability and	
change of use where: (i) the number of on-site	frequency of public transport within reasonable walking	
(i) the number of on-site car parking spaces for the	distance of the site;	
existing use or development	(d) the availability and	
specified in Table C2.1 is	frequency of other transport	
greater than the number of car	alternatives;	
parking spaces specified in	(e) any site constraints	
Table C2.1 for the proposed	such as existing buildings,	
use or development, in which	slope, drainage, vegetation	
case no additional on-site car	and landscaping;	
parking is required; or	(f) the availability,	
(ii) the number of on-site	accessibility and safety of on-	
car parking spaces for the	street parking, having regard to	
existing use or development	the nature of the roads, traffic	
specified in Table C2.1 is less	management and other uses in	
than the number of car parking	the vicinity;	
spaces specified in Table C2.1	(g) the effect on	
for the proposed use or	streetscape; and	
development, in which case	(h) any assessment by a	
on-site car parking must be calculated as follows:	suitably qualified person of the actual car parking demand	
N = A + (C - B)	determined having regard to	
	the scale and nature of the use	
N = Number of on-site car	and development.	
parking spaces required		
A = Number of existing on site	P1.2	
car parking spaces	The number of car parking	
	spaces for dwellings must meet	

B = Number of on-site car	the reasonable needs of the
parking spaces required for the	
existing use or development	(a) the nature and
specified in Table C2.1	intensity of the use and car
C= Number of on-site car	parking required;
parking spaces required for the	(b) the size of the dwelling
proposed use or development	and the number of bedrooms;
specified in Table C2.1.	and
	(c) the pattern of parking
	in the surrounding area.

C2.6 Development Standards for Buildings and Works			
	C2.6.1 Construction of parking areas		
That parking areas are construc			
Acceptable solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT	
<ul> <li>A1</li> <li>All parking, access ways, manoeuvring and circulation spaces must:</li> <li>(a) be constructed with a durable all weather pavement;</li> <li>(b) be drained to the public stormwater system, or contain stormwater on the site; and</li> <li>(c) excluding all uses in the Rural Zone, Agriculture Zone, Landscape Conservation Zone, Environmental Management Zone, Recreation Zone and Open Space Zone, be surfaced by a spray seal, asphalt, concrete, pavers or equivalent material to restrict abrasion from traffic and minimise entry of water to the pavement.</li> </ul>	P1 All parking, access ways, manoeuvring and circulation spaces must be readily identifiable and constructed so that they are useable in all weather conditions, having regard to: (a) the nature of the use; (b) the topography of the land; (c) the drainage system available; (d) the likelihood of transporting sediment or debris from the site onto a road or public place; (e) the likelihood of generating dust; and (f) the nature of the proposed surfacing.	The access driveways throughout the proposed development will be conditioned to be constructed from an all weather pavement and drained to a stormwater system as required by a Plumbing Permit issued by the authority. The proposal meets the Performance Criteria P1.	

<b>C2.6.2 Design and layout of parking areas</b> That parking areas are designed and laid out to provide convenient, safe and efficient parking.		
Acceptable solutions		OFFICER COMMENT
A1	P1	
Parking, access ways,	All parking, access ways,	Car parking areas are relatively
manoeuvring and circulation	manoeuvring and circulation	level and will meet the
spaces must either:	spaces must be designed and	Australian Standard AS 2890.
(a) comply with the	readily identifiable to provide	
following:	convenient, safe and efficient	All vehicles will be able to exit
(i) have a gradient in		the property in a forward
accordance with Australian		direction.
Standard AS 2890 - Parking	the site;	
facilities, Parts 1-6;	(b) the proposed slope,	The width of the access roads
(ii) provide for vehicles to		meets the standards of the
enter and exit the site in a	(c) useability in all	
forward direction where	weather conditions;	Code.
providing for more than 4	(d) vehicle and pedestrian	
parking spaces;	traffic safety;	Car parking space dimensions
(iii) have an access width	(e) the nature and use of	meet the requirements, as
not less than the requirements	the development;	indicated in the application
in Table C2.2;		plans.

## C2.6.3 Number of accesses for vehicles

That:

(a) access to land is provided which is safe and efficient for users of the land and all road network users, including but not limited to drivers, passengers, pedestrians and cyclists by minimising the number of vehicle accesses;

(b) accesses do not cause an unreasonable loss of amenity of adjoining uses;

(c) the number of accesses minimise impacts on the streetscape.

Acceptable solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
A1 The number of accesses provided for each frontage must: (a) be no more than 1; or (b) no more than the existing number of accesses, whichever is the greater.	P1 The number of accesses for each frontage must be minimised, having regard to: (a) any loss of on-street parking; and (b) pedestrian safety and amenity; (c) traffic safety; (d) residential amenity on adjoining land; and (e) the impact on the streetscape.	The acceptable solution A1 is met as no more than the one access point is proposed. The old access will be blocked and a new access provided to standard.
<b>A2</b> Within the Central Business Zone or in a pedestrian priority	P2	Not applicable, the zone is Rural.

street no new access is provided unless an existing access is removed.	Within the Central Business Zone or in a pedestrian priority street, any new accesses must: (a) not have an adverse	
	impact on: (i) pedestrian safety and	
	amenity; or (ii) traffic safety; and	
	(b) be compatible with the	
	streetscape.	

Road and Railway Assets Code The Code applies to all use and development. The proposal must satisfy the requirements of the following relevant development standards:

C3.5 Use Standards C3.5.1 Traffic generation at a vehicle crossing, level crossing or new junction To minimise any adverse effects on the safety and efficiency of the road or rail network from vehicular traffic generated from the site at an existing or new vehicle crossing or level crossing or new innetion		
new junction.	Performance Criteria	
Acceptable solutionsA1.1For a category 1 road or aIimited access road, vehiculartraffic to and from the site willnot require:(a) a new junction;(b) a new vehiclecrossing; or(c) a new level crossing.A1.2For a road, excluding acategory 1 road or a limitedaccess road, written consentfor a new junction, vehiclecrossing, or level crossing toserve the use and developmenthas been issued by the roadauthority.A1.3For the rail network, writtenconsent for a new private levelcrossing to serve the use anddevelopment has been issuedby the rail authority.A1.4Vehicular traffic to and from thesite, using an existing vehiclecrossing, will not increase bymore than:(a) the amounts in TableC3.1; or(b) allowed by a licenceissued under Part IVA of theRoads and Jetties Act 1935 inrespect to a limited accessroad.A1.5	Performance Criteria P1 Vehicular traffic to and from the site must minimise any adverse effects on the safety of a junction, vehicle crossing or level crossing or safety or efficiency of the road or rail network, having regard to: (a) any increase in traffic caused by the use; (b) the nature of the traffic generated by the use; (c) the nature of the road; (d) the speed limit and traffic flow of the road; (e) any alternative access to a road; (f) the need for the use; (g) any traffic impact assessment; and (h) any advice received from the rail or road authority.	OFFICER COMMENTThe proposed visitor accommodation and new dwelling will require a new access from Lyell Highway. The Department of State Growth as provided Conditions for the upgrading of the existing vehicular access, including increasing the width to 5.5m to allow for two vehicles to pass each other and 6m seal. The existing access will be decommissioned.The proposal meets the Acceptable Solution A1.

Vehicular traffic must be able to enter and leave a major road in	
a forward direction.	

#### **Natural Assets Code**

This Code applies as areas of Waterway & Coastal Protection Area and Priority Vegetation Overlay are located throughout the property.

The purpose of the Natural Assets Code is:

- To minimise impacts on water quality, natural assets including native riparian vegetation, river condition and the natural ecological function of watercourses, wetlands and lakes.
- To minimise impacts on coastal and foreshore assets, native littoral vegetation, natural coastal processes and the natural ecological function of the coast.
- To protect vulnerable coastal areas to enable natural processes to continue to occur, including the landward transgression of sand dunes, wetlands, saltmarshes and other sensitive coastal habitats due to sea-level rise.
- To minimise impacts on identified priority vegetation.
- To manage impacts on threatened fauna species by minimising clearance of significant habitat.

The Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Central Highlands defines the Waterway and Coastal Protection Area as land:

- (a) shown on an overlay map in the relevant Local Provisions Schedule as within a waterway and coastal protection area; or
- (b) within the relevant distance from a watercourse, wetland, lake or the coast shown in the Table C7.3 below, but does not include a piped watercourse or piped drainage line. If an inconsistency for the width exists between Table C7.3 and the area shown on the overlay map, the greater distance prevails, excluding the width measured from the high water mark of tidal waters where the distance shown on the overlay map in the relevant Local Provisions Schedule prevails. The depiction of a watercourse, or a section of a watercourse on an overlay map in the relevant Local Provisions Schedule prevails. Schedule, is definitive regardless of the actual area of the catchment

The Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Central Highlands defines the Priority Vegetation Area as land shown on an overlay map in the relevant Local Provisions Schedule, as within a Priority Vegetation Area.

Both the Waterway & Coastal Protection Area and Priority Vegetation Area are shown on the overlay map of the Local Provisions Schedule.

The proposal must satisfy the requirements of the following relevant development standards:
(c) if within the spatial extent of tidal waters, be an extension to an existing boat	(b) impacts on riparian or littoral vegetation;	recommendations of the Fauna & Flora Report.
ramp, car park, jetty, marina, marine farming shore facility or slipway that is not more than 20% of the area of the facility	(c) maintaining natural streambank and streambed condition, where it exists;	Impacts on riparian or littoral vegetation will be limited to the width of the access track to the Visitor Accommodation where
existing at the effective date	<ul> <li>(d) impacts on in-stream natural habitat, such as fallen logs, bank overhangs, rocks and trailing vegetation;</li> </ul>	it crosses the watercourse (5m). This is considered minimal impact as the watercourse in 330m through
	(e) the need to avoid significantly impeding natural flow and drainage;	the title. No littoral vegetation will be impacted. The proposal includes a
	(f) the need to maintain fish passage, where known to exist;	vehicular crossing of a shallow tributary, this is not considered to significantly impact the natural flow or drainage.
	(g) the need to avoid land filling of wetlands;	Additional conditions will be contained in the planning permit to ensure that the crossing is engineered and
	<ul> <li>(h) the need to group new facilities with existing facilities, where reasonably practical;</li> </ul>	installed to the satisfaction of Council's Manager of Infrastructure & Works.
	<ul><li>(i) minimising cut and fill;</li><li>(j) building design that</li></ul>	It is noted that water is not constantly present on the property, however the
	responds to the particular size, shape, contours or slope of the land;	installation of a vehicle crossing and culvert will not impede fish passage.
	<ul> <li>(k) minimising impacts on coastal processes, including sand movement and wave action;</li> </ul>	No fill of the wetland area is proposed. The proposed visitor
	(I) minimising the need for future works for the protection of natural assets, infrastructure and property;	accommodation units are grouped together and located on an area previously disturbed, that of the existing dwelling to be demolished.
	(m) the environmental best practice guidelines in the Wetlands and Waterways Works Manual; and	The visitor accommodation is contained within a small area of the 19.91ha, on relatively level ground within the property. It is therefore considered that the
	(n) the guidelines in the Tasmanian Coastal Works Manual.	to responds to the size, shape, contours or slope of the land.
	<b>P1.2</b> Buildings and works within the spatial extent of tidal waters must be for a use that relies upon a coastal location to fulfil	A Soil & Water Management Plan will form a part of the conditions of a planning permit.
	its purpose, having regard to: (a) the need to access a specific resource in a coastal location;	The proposal meets the performance criteria. P1.1 P1.2 is not applicable.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(b) the need to operate a marine farming shore facility;	
	(c) the need to access infrastructure available in a coastal location;	
	(d) the need to service a marine or coastal related activity;	
	(e) provision of essential utility or marine infrastructure; or	
	(f) provisions of open space or for marine-related educational, research, or recreational facilities.	
A2 Buildings and works within a future coastal refugia area must be located within a building area on a sealed plan approved under this planning scheme.	<b>P2.1</b> Buildings and works within a future coastal refugia area must allow for natural coastal processes to continue to occur and avoid or minimise adverse impacts on natural assets, having regard to:	Not applicable.
	(a) allowing for the landward transgression of sand dunes and the landward colonisation of wetlands, saltmarshes and other coastal habitats from adjacent areas;	
	(b) avoiding the creation of barriers or drainage networks that would prevent future tidal inundation;	
	(c) allowing the coastal processes of sand deposition or erosion to continue to occur;	
	(d) the need to group new facilities with existing facilities, where reasonably practical;	
	(e) the impacts on native vegetation;	
	(f) minimising cut and fill;	
	(g) building design that responds to the particular size, shape, contours or slope of the land;	
	(h) the impacts of sea- level rise on natural coastal processes and coastal habitat;	

	(i) the environmental best practice guidelines in the Wetlands and Waterways Works Manual; and	
	(j) the guidelines in the Tasmanian Coastal Works Manual.	
	P2.2 Buildings and works within a future coastal refugia area must be for a use that relies upon a coastal location to fulfil its purpose, having regard to:	
	(a) the need to access a specific resource in a coastal location;	
	(b) the need to operate a marine farming shore facility;	
	(c) the need to access infrastructure available in a coastal location;	
	(d) the need to service a marine or coastal related activity;	
	(e) provision of essential utility or marine infrastructure; and	
	(f) provision of open space or for marine-related educational, research, or recreational facilities.	
A3 Development within a waterway and coastal protection area or a future coastal refugia area must not	<b>P3</b> Development within a waterway and coastal protection area or a future coastal refugia area involving a	Additional stormwater discharge is proposed to the existing waterbody.
involve a new stormwater point discharge into a watercourse, wetland or lake.	new stormwater point discharge into a watercourse, wetland or lake must avoid or minimise adverse impacts on natural assets, having regard to:	It is considered that impacts will be negligible, however it is recommended that a Stormwater Management Plan and A Soil & Water Management Plan form a part
	(a) the need to minimise impacts on water quality; and	of the conditions of a planning permit. The proposal meets the
	(b) the need to mitigate and manage any impacts likely to arise from erosion, sedimentation or runoff.	The proposal meets the performance criteria P3.
A4 Dredging or reclamation must not occur within a waterway and coastal protection area or a future coastal refugia area.	<b>P4.1</b> Dredging or reclamation within a waterway and coastal protection area or a future coastal refugia area must	The proposal meets the acceptable solution A4, no dredging or reclamation will occur in the watercourse.

	minimise adverse impacts on	
	natural coastal processes and	
	natural assets, having regard to:	
	(a) impacts caused by	
	erosion, siltation,	
	sedimentation and runoff;	
	(b) impacts on riparian or	
	littoral vegetation;	
	(c) the need to avoid land	
	filling of wetlands;	
	(d) impacts on sand	
	movement and wave action;	
	and	
	(e) the potential for	
	increased risk to inundation of	
	adjacent land.	
	P4.2	
	Dredging or reclamation within	
	a waterway and coastal	
	protection area or a future	
	coastal refugia area must be	
	necessary:	
	(a) to continue an existing	
	use or development on	
	adjacent land; or	
	(b) for a use which relies	
	upon a coastal location to fulfil its purpose, having regard to:	
	(i) the need to access a	
	specific resource in a coastal	
	location;	
	(ii) the need to operate a	
	marine farming shore facility;	
	(iii) the need to access	
	infrastructure available in a	
	coastal location;	
	(iv) the need to service a	
	marine or coastal related	
	activity;	
	(v) provision of essential utility or marine infrastructure;	
	and	
	(vi) provision of open	
	space or for marine-related	
	educational, research, or	
	recreational facilities.	
A5	P5	
Coastal protection works or	Coastal protection works or	Not applicable.
watercourse erosion or	watercourse erosion or	
inundation protection works	inundation protection works	
must not occur within a	within a waterway and coastal protection area or a future	
waterway and coastal protection area or a future	coastal refugia area must be	
coastal refugia area.	designed by a suitably qualified	
	person and minimise adverse	
	impacts on natural coastal	
	processes, having regard to:	

(a) impacts on sand movement and wave action; and	
(b) the potential for increased risk of inundation to adjacent land.	

## C7.6.2 Clearance within a priority vegetation area

That clearance of native vegetation within a priority vegetation area:

(a) does not result in unreasonable loss of priority vegetation;

(b) is appropriately managed to adequately protect identified priority vegetation;

and

(c) minimises and appropriately manages impacts from construction and development activities.

Acceptable solutions	manages impacts from construction Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
Acceptable solutions A1	P1.1	
Clearance of native vegetation within a priority vegetation area must be within a building area on a sealed plan approved	Clearance of native vegetation within a priority vegetation area must be for:	The new dwelling, outbuilding and sections of the access road will be cleared, meeting P1.1 (b).
under this planning scheme.	(a) an existing use on the site, provided any clearance is contained within the minimum area necessary to be cleared to provide adequate	The area of native vegetation on the property to be cleared for visitor accommodation is not for an existing use.
	bushfire protection, as recommended by the Tasmania Fire Service or an accredited person;	A Bushfire Hazard Report has been provided and has informed the Fauna & Flora Report for the proposal.
	(b) buildings and works associated with the construction of a single dwelling or an associated outbuilding;	The supporting Flora & Fauna Assessment Report states that the clearance of the existing native vegetation on the property for the Visitor Accommodation is limited,
	<ul><li>(c) subdivision in the General Residential Zone or Low Density Residential Zone;</li><li>(d) use or development that will</li></ul>	8.5% of the total area of priority vegetation will be cleared. The report also states that it is likely that the priority vegetation species will persist within the
	result in significant long term social and economic benefits and there is no feasible alternative location or design;	hazard management area. Clearance of native vegetation will be limited to the area surrounding the visitor accommodation, which is
	(e) clearance of native vegetation where it is demonstrated that on-going pre-existing management cannot ensure	located adjacent to an existing dwelling to be demolished, which includes areas of already disturbed land. Clearance of large areas of Priority Vegetation has been avoided.
	the survival of the priority vegetation and there is little potential for long-term persistence; or	The designs enable the car parking and manoeuvring spaces for the visitor accommodation to be
	(f) the clearance of native vegetation that is of	contained within the Bushfire Hazard management Area. The Hazard area is also

<ul> <li>limited scale relative to the extent of priority vegetation on the site.</li> <li>P1.2</li> <li>Clearance of native vegetation area must minimise adverse impacts on priority vegetation, having regard to: <ul> <li>(a) the design and location of buildings and works and any constraints such as topography or land hazards;</li> <li>(b) any particular requirements for the buildings and works;</li> <li>(c) minimising impacts resulting from bushfire hazard management measures through siting and fire-resistant design of habitable buildings;</li> <li>(d) any mitigation measures implemented to minimise the residual impacts on priority vegetation;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	expected to continue support the priority vegetation. The Flora & Fauna report makes recommendations to minimise the residual impacts on priority vegetation, which have been included in the conditions of the Planning Permit. In addition, A Soil & Water Management Plan will form a part of the conditions of a planning permit. Conditions for Weed Management will also be included in the Planning Permit. The proposal meets the performance criteria.
implemented to minimise the residual impacts on priority	
<ul><li>(e) any on-site biodiversity offsets; and</li><li>(f) any existing cleared areas on the site.</li></ul>	

#### CONCLUSION

This report has assessed a Development Application to demolish the existing dwelling, construct a new dwelling, outbuilding and four (4) cabins to be used as Visitor Accommodation on the property 14246 Lyell Highway (CT 241772/1).

Three representations were received to Council during the notice period and these have been addressed in this report.

The proposal has been found to comply with all the relevant standards of the Rural Zone and the applicable Codes.

It is recommended that the Development Application be approved and a Permit issued with conditions and advice.

#### PLANNING COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION

This item was considered at the Planning Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 14<sup>th</sup> May 2024 with the Planning Committee making the following recommendation to Council acting as the Planning Authority.

## **RESOLUTION: 09/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr R Cassidy

Seconded: Cr J Honner

**THAT** in accordance with the provisions of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – *Central Highlands* and section 57 of the *Land Use Planning & Approvals Act 1993*, Council APPROVE the Development Application (DA2023/68) to demolish the existing dwelling, construct a new dwelling, outbuilding, four (4) cabins to be used as Visitor Accommodation and associated infrastructure at the property described as 14246 Lyell Highway (CT 241772/1), owned by J Butt & R Parker and that a permit be issued with the following conditions:

#### General

- 1) The use or development must be carried out substantially in accordance with the application for planning approval, the endorsed drawings and with the conditions of this permit and must not be altered or extended without the further written approval of Council.
- 2) This permit shall not take effect and must not be acted on until 15 days after the date of receipt of this letter or the date of the last letter to any representor, whichever is later, in accordance with section 53 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

#### Amenity

- 3) All external metal building surfaces must be clad in non-reflective pre-coated metal sheeting or painted to the satisfaction of the Manager Development and Environmental Services.
- 4) No vegetation other than that necessary for the construction of the development, associated access and services is to be cleared without the approval of Council.
- 5) The proposed outbuilding is approved as ancillary to the Residential and is to be used for domestic storage only. It is not to be used for commercial, industrial or habitable purposes, unless in accordance with a permit issued by Council or as otherwise permitted by Council's Planning Scheme.

#### **Parking and Access**

- 6) Detailed design drawings of the culvert over the watercourse prepared by a suitably qualified person (engineer), are to be provided and approved by Council's Manager of Infrastructure and Works before application for permits under the Building Act 2016 are lodged.
- 7) The siting of vehicular accesses and car parking spaces must generally accord with the endorsed plans.
- 8) At least four (4) car parking spaces must be provided for the use of the visitor accommodation and must be available for car parking at all times. Car parking must be in accordance with Standards Australia (2004) Australian Standard AS 2890.1 - 2004 – Parking Facilities Part 1: Off Street Car Parking; Standards Australia, Sydney.
- 9) The internal driveway and areas set-aside for parking and associated access and turning must be provided in accordance with Standards Australia (2004): Australian Standard AS 2890.1 - 2004 – Parking Facilities Part 1: Off Street Car Parking; Standards Australia, Sydney and to the satisfaction of Council's Municipal Engineer, and must include all of the following;
  - a) Constructed with a durable all weather pavement;
  - b) Minimum carriageway width of 4 metres;
  - c) Drained to an approved stormwater system; and
  - d) Vehicular passing areas 6 metres wide (total) x 20 metres long every 200 metres.

or as otherwise required by an approved Bushfire Plan.

10) The internal driveway and areas set-aside for parking and associated access and turning must be designed, constructed and maintained to avoid dust or mud generation, erosion and sediment transfer off site or de-stabilisation of the soil on site or on adjacent properties to the standard required by Council's Municipal Engineer.

#### Access to State Road

- 11) The Access to the Lyell Highway is to be a minimum 5.5m wide to permit two vehicles to pass each other.
- 12) The Access is to be sealed a minimum of 6.0m from the edge of the existing seal of the Lyell Highway.
- 13) The existing B2 Centre line (double continuous line) is to be modified to create a break in the line to permit vehicles to legally turn right into the access from the Lyell Highway.

- 14) The existing access where the structure to be demolished is located, must be either removed or blocked to prevent future use.
- 15) Any conditions imposed by the Department of State Growth for works affecting the road reserve shall form part of this permit and must be adhered to.
- 16) Prior to undertaking any access (or other) works in the state road reserve an Access Permit is required from the Department of State Growth in accordance with Section 16 of the Roads and Jetties Act 1935. Application for Permits can be located at; https://www.transport.tas.gov.au/road\_permits/permits\_and\_bookings
- 17) Applications must be received by the Department of State Growth at least 20 business days before the expected start date for works, to allow enough time to assess the application.

#### Services

18) The developer must pay the cost of any alterations and/or reinstatement to existing services, Council infrastructure or private property incurred as a result of the development. Any work required is to be specified or undertaken by the authority concerned.

#### Stormwater

- 19) A Stormwater Management Plan prepared by a suitably qualified person must be prepared and submitted to Councils Municipal Engineer for approval. Once approved the plans shall form part of the permit.
- 20) Prior to the commencement of use and the issuing of a plumbing permit, detailed plans and calculations of the stormwater drainage system, including treatment, retention and outfalls must be prepared by a suitably qualified civil engineer and be submitted to Councils Plumbing Surveyor for approval. Once approved the plans shall form part of the permit.
- 21) Stormwater drainage from the proposed development must be retained on site (or) drain to a legal point of discharge to the satisfaction of Council's General Manager and in accordance with a Certificate of Likely Compliance or Plumbing permit issued by the Permit Authority in accordance with the Building Act 2016.

#### Wastewater

22) Wastewater from the development must discharge to an on-site waste disposal system in accordance with a Certificate of Likely Compliance or Plumbing Permit issued by the Permit Authority in accordance with the Building Act 2016.

#### Protection of Water Quality

- 23) A Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP) prepared in accordance with the guidelines *Soil and Water Management on Building and Construction Sites*, by the Derwent Estuary Programme and NRM South, must be approved by Council's Development and Environmental Services before development of the land commences (refer to advice below). The SWMP shall form part of this permit when approved.
- 24) Before any work commences install temporary run-off, erosion and sediment controls in accordance with the recommendations of the approved SWMP and maintain these controls at full operational capacity until the land is effectively rehabilitated and stabilised after completion of the development in accordance with the guidelines *Soil and Water Management on Building and Construction Sites*, by the Derwent Estuary Programme and NRM South and to the satisfaction of Council's Development and Environmental Services.

#### **Natural Values**

25) Unless otherwise agreed by the Council's General Manager, the development and works must be carried out in accordance with the recommendations made in the Flora and Fauna Report, prepared by RMCC and dated 27 February 2024.

#### Weed Management

26) Prior to the carrying out of any works approved or required by this approval, the property owner must provide a weed management plan detailing measures to be adopted to limit the spread of weeds listed in the Weed Management Act 1999 through imported soil or land disturbance by appropriate water management and machinery and vehicular hygiene to the satisfaction of Council's Manager of Development & Environmental Services.

#### **Construction Amenity**

27) The development must only be carried out between the following hours unless otherwise approved by the Council's Manager of Development and Environmental Services:

Monday to Friday	7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Saturday	8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Sunday and State-wide public holidays	10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

- 28) All works associated with the development of the land shall be carried out in such a manner so as not to unreasonably cause injury to, or prejudice or affect the amenity, function and safety of any adjoining or adjacent land, and of any person therein or in the vicinity thereof, by reason of:
  - a. Emission of noise, artificial light, vibration, odour, fumes, smoke, vapour, steam, ash, dust, waste water, waste products, grit or otherwise.
  - b. The transportation of materials, goods and commodities to and from the land.
  - c. Obstruction of any public footway or highway.
  - d. Appearance of any building, works or materials.
  - e. Any accumulation of vegetation, building debris or other unwanted material must be disposed of by removal from the site in an approved manner. No burning of such materials on site will be permitted unless approved in writing by the Council's Manager of Development and Environmental Services.
- 29) Public roadways or footpaths must not be used for the storage of any construction materials or wastes, for the loading/unloading of any vehicle or equipment; or for the carrying out of any work, process or tasks associated with the project during the construction period.
- 30) The developer must make good and/or clean any footpath, road surface or other element damaged or soiled by the development to the satisfaction of the Council's Manger of Works and Technical Services.

#### **ADVICE NOTES**

The following advice applies to this permit:

- A. This Planning Permit is in <u>addition</u> to the requirements of the Building Act 2016. Approval in accordance with the Building Act 2016 may be required prior to works commencing. A copy of the Directors Determination categories of Building Work and Demolition Work is available via the CBOS website: <u>Director's Determination Categories of Building and Demolition Work (PDF, 504.4 KB)</u> or for Low Risk Building Work information go to: <u>Consumer Guide to Low Risk Building and Plumbing Work</u>.
- B. This planning approval shall lapse at the expiration of two (2) years from the date of the commencement of planning approval unless the development for which the approval was given has been substantially commenced or extension of time has been granted. Where a planning approval for a development has lapsed, an application for renewal of a planning approval for that development may be treated as a new application.
- C. This permit does not take effect until all other approvals required for the use or development to which the permit relates have been granted.
- D. The issue of this permit does not ensure compliance with the provisions of the Aboriginal Relics Act 1975. If any aboriginal sites or relics are discovered on the land, stop work and immediately contact the Tasmanian Aboriginal Land Council and Aboriginal Heritage Unit of the Department of Tourism, Arts and the Environment. Further work may not be permitted until a permit is issued in accordance with the Aboriginal Relics Act 1975.
- E. The issue of this permit does not ensure compliance with the provisions of the Threatened Species Protection Act 1995 or the Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Protection Act 1999 (Commonwealth). The applicant may be liable to complaints in relation to any non-compliance with these Acts and may be required to apply to the Threatened Species Unit of the Department of Tourism, Arts and the Environment or the Commonwealth Minister for a permit.
- F. The SWMP must show the following:
  - (a) Allotment boundaries, north-point, contours, layout of roads, driveways, building envelopes and reticulated services (including power and telephone and any on-site drainage or water supply), impervious surfaces and types of all existing natural vegetation;
  - (b) Critical natural areas such as drainage lines, recharge area, wetlands, and unstable land;
  - (c) Estimated dates of the start and completion of the works;
  - (d) Timing of the site rehabilitation or landscape program;

- (e) Details of land clearing and earthworks or trenching and location of soil stockpiles associated with roads, driveways, building sites, reticulated services and fire hazard protection.
- (f) Arrangements to be made for surface and subsurface drainage and vegetation management in order to prevent sheet and tunnel erosion.
- (g) Temporary erosion and sedimentation controls to be used on the site.
- (h) Recommendations for the treatment and disposal of wastewater in accordance with Standards Australia (2000), AS/NZS 1547: *On-site wastewater management*, Standards Australia, Sydney.
- G. Appropriate temporary control measures include, but are not limited to, the following (refer to brochure attached):
  - Minimise site disturbance and vegetation removal;
  - Diversion of up-slope run-off around cleared and/or disturbed areas, or areas to be cleared and/or disturbed, provided that such diverted water will not cause erosion and is directed to a legal discharge point (eg. temporarily connected to Council's storm water system, a watercourse or road drain);
  - Sediment retention traps (e.g. sediment fences, straw bales, grass turf filter strips, etc.) at the down slope perimeter of the disturbed area to prevent unwanted sediment and other debris escaping from the land;
  - Sediment retention traps (e.g. sediment fences, straw bales, etc.) around the inlets to the stormwater system to prevent unwanted sediment and other debris blocking the drains;
  - Stormwater pits and inlets installed and connected to the approved stormwater system before the roadwork's are commenced; and
  - Rehabilitation of all disturbed areas as soon as possible.
- H. Land on adjacent properties are Timber Production Zone Land (PTPZL) and will be subject to noise and heavy vehicle movements outside of business hours. It should also be noted that as Timber Production land, once harvested, the visual amenity of the area will change.
- I. A separate application may be required for Signage.

#### CARRIED

## For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

Deputy Mayor J Allwright declared an interest and left the meeting at 11.00 am.

## 23.2 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION (DA2024/18) BOAT RAMP & JETTY AT LAND DESCRIBED AS 475 ROCKMOUNT ROAD, ELLENDALE (182981/0) SUBMITTED BY PDA SURVEYORS AND OWNED BY STRATA CORPORATION 182981 "PARADISE"

## AUTHOR

Senior Planning Officer (Louisa Brown)

#### **AUTHORISED BY** Manager Development & Environmental Services (Graham Rogers)

#### ATTACHMENTS

- Application for Planning Permit Documents
- Title Plan and Folio
- Site Plan & Designs
- Planning Assessment prepared by PDA
- Cultural Heritage Management letter
- Representation 1

## DISCRETIONS

- CHI-S1.6.1 Discretionary use P1, P2
- CHI-S1.7.5 Aquatic structures P1
- CHI-S1.7.6 Aboriginal Heritage P1
- CHI-S1.7.7 Protection of Lake Operation P1

#### PROPOSAL

Council is in receipt of an application for a Planning Permit for a Boat Ramp and Launching Jetty at the property 475 Rockmount Road, Ellendale also known as "Paradise".

An informal boat ramp area exists on the property, this development application seeks to formalise and upgrade the facility, to include a boat ramp and launching jetty.

The proposal is to be assessed against the development standards of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Central Highlands, the Act and Central Highlands Local Provisions Schedule, CHI-S1.0 Meadowbank Lake Specific Area Plan.

This is a discretionary application under the CHI-S1.0 Meadowbank Lake Specific Area Plan.

The Council gave notice of the application for public comment as required by the Act. During the notification period one representation was received. The representation is summarised below and response from Council's planning Officer included.

It is recommended that Council grant a planning permit for the development application subject to conditions.

#### THE SITE

The property is located on the banks of the Meadowbank Lake, some 5km south-west of Hamilton. The scheme is a strata scheme for 13 Visitor Accommodation Units known as "Paradise".

An existing boat ramp is located to the North West corner of the property. This proposal seeks to formalise the launching area and provide upgraded facilities, such as a launching jetting.

Map 1 and 2 below shows the land zoning, code overlays and location of the property. Map 3 is an aerial image of the property and surrounds. Map 4 identifies the proposed upgrade of facilities.



Map 1\_The subject land in blue. Surrounding land is in the Rural Zone (light brown). The brown colour represents the Agriculture Zone. line. Source: LISTmap (09/05/24)



Map 1\_The subject land in blue. The Black dashed line represents the Lake Meadow Bank Specific Area Plan. Blue lines represent the Waterway & Coastal Protection Area, Green Lines represent Priority Vegetation of the Natural Assets Code. Source: LISTmap (09/05/24)



Map 2\_Aerial image of the subject land and surrounding area. Subject titles marked with blue line. Source The List (09/05/24)



Map 4\_Aerial image of the subject land and the location of the Boat Ramp and jetty indicated in red. Source The List (09/05/24)

#### **USE/DEVELOPMENT DEFINITION**

The property is within the Rural Zone of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Central Highlands and also within the Central Highlands Local Provisions Schedule, CHI-S1.0 Meadowbank Lake Specific Area Plan.

In the area of land to which the SAP applies, the provisions of the specific area plan are in substitution for, and in addition to the provisions of the Rural Zone.

Within the Meadowbank Lake Specific Area Plan, Pleasure boat facility is a discretionary use and is defined as "If for a boat ramp, jetty, pontoon". Source CHI-S1.0 Meadowbank Lake Specific Area Plan

#### **Use/Development Status under the Planning Scheme**

As a discretionary development, the application was advertised in accordance with Section 57 of the Act.

Council has the discretion to grant a permit for this proposal with or without conditions, or refuse to grant a permit.

#### PUBLIC NOTIFICATION AND REPRESENTATIONS

The application was advertised from 26th March until 16th April, the notification period was extended beyond the 14 days to allow for the Easter Public Holidays. During this time, one representation was received.

A summary of the representation received and the response from Council's planning Officer is provided below.

Representation Received	Planning Officer Comments
I wish to make the following points regarding the development application:	
1. That council in reviewing the application is consistent in its requirements and ruling based on similar applications from neighboring Jones River Strata Title development.	This development application will be assessed under the current Planning Scheme which is in operation that is the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Central Highlands and the Central Highlands Local Provisions Schedule, CHI-S1.0
2. Aboriginal site inspections have been required in the past, and letters of support from Hydro. I do not see reference to these documents in the application submitted?	Meadowbank Lake Specific Area Plan (SAP). The SAP has been updated and made clearer, requirements for applications are clear as is the process in which to seek approval.
3. When similar applications have been put to Council, Council stated that this would be the last time council would approve additional pontoon constructions on the lake.	Council will assess this application based on the requirements and the standards of the Central Highlands Local Provisions Schedule, CHI-S1.0 Meadowbank Lake Specific Area Plan and the
<ol> <li>I ask Council to be consistent and align to previous decisions made regarding pontoons on the Lake.</li> </ol>	Tasmanian Planning Scheme. This assessment against the relevant standards of the scheme follows in this report.

#### ASSESSMENT – CENTRAL HIGHLANDS LOCAL PROVISIONAL SCHEDULE CHI-S1.0 MEADOWBANK LAKE SPECIFIC AREA PLAN & THE TASMANIAN PLANNING SCHEME –

In the area of land to which this development application applies, the provisions of the Meadowbank Lake SAP are in substitution for, and in addition to the provisions of the Rural Zone.

The purpose of the Meadowbank Lake Specific Area Plan is:

- To recognise and protect the operation of the Meadowbank Lake Hydro-electric Power Station from incompatible use and development.
- To ensure that on-site wastewater management does not contribute to adverse impacts on water quality.
- To recognise Meadowbank Lake as the premier water-skiing facility in the State and to support
  associated use and development whilst managing other use and development to minimise conflict
  between activities.

- To encourage the use and development of Meadowbank Lake and the adjoining land for tourism, recreational and visitor accommodation purposes whilst maintaining and enhancing the natural, cultural and landscape values of the area.
- To recognise and protect Aboriginal heritage values.
- To encourage co-ownership and sharing of aquatic structures such as boat ramps, jetties, pontoons and water-based sports infrastructure.
- To protect the lake foreshore landscape, from becoming over-crowded with buildings for Visitor Accommodation.
- To encourage the orderly and strategic development of appropriately scaled and located Visitor Accommodation, particularly camping and caravan parks and overnight camping areas.
- To provide for Resource Development and Resource Processing compatible with recreation and tourisms use of the area.
- To provide for use and development which does not compromise the significant role the lake plays in the Greater Hobart drinking water system.

#### The Application of this Plan

The specific area plan applies to the area of land designated as Meadowbank Lake Specific Area Plan on the overlay maps.

In the area of land to which this plan applies, the provisions of the specific area plan are in substitution for, and in addition to the provisions of the Rural Zone.

#### CHI-S1.6 Use Standards

## CHI-S1.6.1 Discretionary use

This clause is in substitution for Rural Zone – clause 20.3.1 Discretionary Uses. That uses listed as Discretionary recognise and are compatible with the natural, cultural and landscape values of Meadowbank Lake and the plan purpose statements.

Acceptable Solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
Acceptable Solutions A1 No Acceptable Solution	Performance Criteria P1 A use listed as Discretionary must be consistent with the natural, cultural and landscape values of Meadowbank Lake and the plan purpose statements, having regard to: (a) the significance of the natural, cultural, and landscape values; (b) the protection, conservation and management of the values; (c) the location, intensity and scale of the use and impacts on existing use and other lake activities; (d) the characteristics and type of use; (e) impact of traffic generation and parking requirements; (f) any emissions and waste produced by the use; (g) the storage and holding of goods, materials and waste; and (h) the proximity of sensitive uses.	OFFICER COMMENTPleasure boat facility is a discretionary use and is defined as "If for a boat ramp, jetty, pontoon".The application seeks to formalise an existing boat ramp and upgrade the facility. The existing ramp has been used for many years and an opportunity improve the facilities has arisen.The scale of the improvements to that which is existing are relatively minimal in terms of the upgrading of the boat ramp. The proposed new launching jetty could increase the use of pleasure boats on the lake. However, this will be a private jetty for the existing Visitor Accommodation use on the property. It is therefore concluded that the intensification of the use is minimal.Sensitiveusesinclude
		dwellings, of which Meadowbank View Strat

		Scheme is some 300m plus from the proposed jetty. The proposal meets the Performance Criteria P1.
A2 No Acceptable Solution	P2 A use listed as Discretionary must not confine or restrain existing agricultural use on adjoining properties, having regard to: (a) the location of the proposed use; (b) the nature, scale and intensity of the use; (c) the likelihood and nature of any adverse impacts on adjoining uses; and (d) any off site impacts from adjoining uses.	will not intensify the existing use or adversely impact this

#### CHI-S1.7.5 Aquatic structures

This clause is in addition to Rural Zone – clause 20.4 Development Standards for Buildings and Works and Environmental Management Zone – clause 23.4 Development Standards for Building and Works.

That permanent aquatic structures such as pontoons, boat ramps and jetties on Meadowbank Lake or its foreshore are only constructed as necessary and are safe, functional, and do not detract from the natural, cultural and landscape values of the area or impede recreational use or the operational needs of Hydro Tasmania.

Acceptable Solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
A1 An aquatic structure is: (a) for the replacement of an existing structure; (b) provided by or on behalf of the Crown, council or a State Authority; and (c) the rationalisation of two or more structures on Meadowbank Lake or its foreshore.	P1 Aquatic structures must avoid adverse impacts on the natural, cultural and landscape values of Meadowbank Lake and only be constructed as necessary and safe having regard to: (a) the advice and operational needs of Hydro Tasmania; (b) rationalising existing aquatic structures as far as practicable; (c) avoiding the proliferation of aquatic structures in the immediate vicinity; (d) the demonstrated need for the aquatic structure; and (e) the plan purpose statements.	Within the application documents submitted, an agreement for the lodgement of this Development Application is provided by Hydro. This is an in principle agreement to the upgrade and construction of the boat ramp and jetty. This application seeks to formalise an existing launching facility and upgrade facilities. The purpose of the SAP is to encourage the use and development of Meadowbank Lake and the adjoining land for tourism, recreational and visitor accommodation purposes whilst maintaining and enhancing the natural, cultural and landscape values of the area. The proposal will upgrade an existing facility, rationalising

	an existing facility for the adjacent strata owners.
	The proposal meets the Performance Criteria P1.

#### CHI-S1.7.6 Aboriginal Heritage

This clause is in addition to Rural Zone – clause 20.4 Development Standards for Buildings and Works

That Aboriginal heritage is not inappropriately disturbed.

Accentelle Contrare Content of Co		
Acceptable Solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
Acceptable Solutions A1 Building and works: (a) must not involve excavation; (b) the application is	P1	The application documents include a letter from Cultural Heritage Management Australia, which contains
accompanied by a record of advice and Unanticipated Discovery Plan, issued by Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania; or	<ul><li>(b) Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment by a suitably qualified person.</li></ul>	application. The proposal meets the Performance Criteria P1.
<ul> <li>(c) is in accordance with an Approved Permit issued</li> <li>by the Minister for Aboriginal Affairs under</li> <li>Section 14 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1975.</li> </ul>		

#### CHI-S1.7.7 Protection of Lake Operation This clause is in addition to Rural Zone - clause 20.4 Development Standards for Buildings and Works. That the operation of the lake for hydro-electric power generation and as a major source of potable water for greater Hobart is not compromised. Acceptable Solutions **Performance Criteria OFFICER COMMENT P1** A1 Buildings and works within 20 Buildings and works within 20m The proposal meets the metres of the full supply of the full supply level must: Performance Criteria P1, as level must be accompanied by (a) not hinder the operation of the proposal seeks to formalise the written support of the lake for hydro-electric and improve existing facilities. Hydro Tasmania, with or generation purposes; and without conditions. (b) not compromise water quality; having regard to any

advice received from Hydro

and/or relevant authority

## ASSESSMENT – TASMANIAN PLANNING SCHEME – CENTRAL HIGHLANDS

Tasmania

#### **Rural Zone**

The subject site is in the Rural Zone of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Central Highlands. Accordingly, the proposal must satisfy the requirements of the following <u>relevant</u> use and development standards of this zone:

20.4 Development Standards for Buildings and Works 20.4.1 Building height		
To provide for a building height t (a) is necessary for the ope (b) minimises adverse impa		
Acceptable Solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
A1 Building height must be not more than 12m.	<ul> <li>P1 Building height must be necessary for the operation of the use and not cause an unreasonable impact on adjoining properties, having regard to: <ul> <li>(a) the proposed height of the building;</li> <li>(b) the bulk and form of the building;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(c) the separation from existing uses on adjoining properties; and <ul> <li>(d) any buffers created by</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	development, is under 12m.

<b>20.4.2 Setbacks</b> That the siting of buildings minimises potential conflict with use on adjoining sites.		
Acceptable Solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
A1 Buildings must have a setback from all boundaries of: (a) not less than 5m; or (b) if the setback of an existing building is within 5m, not less than the existing building.	P1 Buildings must be sited to provide adequate vehicle access and not cause an unreasonable impact on existing use on adjoining properties, having regard to: (a) the bulk and form of the building; (b) the nature of existing use on the adjoining properties; (c) separation from existing use on the adjoining properties; and (d) any buffers created by natural or other features.	The development will be on the boundary, therefore the proposal must satisfy the Performance Criteria P1. As stated, the proposal seeks to formalise and improve an existing boating facility. The area is popular for pleasure boating and the proposed facilities are for private use. The proposal complies with P1.
A2 Buildings for a sensitive use must be separated from an Agriculture Zone a distance of: (a) not less than 200m; or (b) if an existing building for a sensitive use on the site is within 200m of that boundary, not less than the existing building.	P2 Buildings for a sensitive use must be sited so as not to conflict or interfere with an agricultural use within the Agriculture Zone, having regard to: (a) the size, shape and topography of the site; (b)the prevailing setbacks of any existing buildings for sensitive uses on adjoining properties; (c) the location of existing buildings on the site; (d) the existing and potential use of adjoining properties;	Not applicable, the proposal is not a building.

<ul> <li>(e) any proposed attenuation measures; and</li> <li>(f) any buffers created by natural or other features.</li> </ul>	
---	--

#### **C7.0 Natural Assets Code**

The purpose of the Natural Assets Code is:

To minimise impacts on water quality, natural assets including native riparian vegetation, river condition and the natural ecological function of watercourses, wetlands and lakes.

To minimise impacts on coastal and foreshore assets, native littoral vegetation, natural coastal processes and the natural ecological function of the coast.

To protect vulnerable coastal areas to enable natural processes to continue to occur, including the landward transgression of sand dunes, wetlands, saltmarshes and other sensitive coastal habitats due to sea-level rise.

To minimise impacts on identified priority vegetation.

To manage impacts on threatened fauna species by minimising clearance of significant habitat.

This Code applies to development in the Rural Zone, in the Waterway & Coastal Protection Area overlays, therefore the proposal is assessed against the relevant standards of the scheme.

C7.6 Development Standards for Buildings and Works C7.6.1 Buildings and works within a waterway and coastal protection area or a future coastal			
refugia area.	thin a water way and coastal pro	lection area of a future coastal	
-	That buildings and works within a waterway and coastal protection area or future coastal refugia		
	will not have an unnecessary or unacceptable impact on natural assets.		
Acceptable Solutions	Performance Criteria	Officer Comment	
A1	P1.1		
Buildings and works within a	Buildings and works within a	The proposal is to upgrade an	
waterway and coastal	waterway and coastal	existing facility and is not more	
protection area must:	protection area must avoid or	than 20% of the existing area	
(a) be within a building area on	minimise adverse	(proposal is 4.8m	
a sealed plan	impacts on natural assets,		
approved under this planning	having regard to:	The Acceptable Solution A1 is	
scheme;	(a) impacts caused by erosion,	met.	
(b) in relation to a Class 4	siltation,		
watercourse, be for a	sedimentation and runoff;		
crossing or bridge not more than 5m in width; or	(b) impacts on riparian or littoral vegetation;		
(c) if within the spatial extent of	(c) maintaining natural		
tidal waters, be an extension to	streambank and streambed		
an existing boat ramp, car park,	condition, where it exists;		
jetty, marina, marine farming	(d) impacts on in-stream		
shore facility or slipway that is	natural habitat, such as		
not more than 20% of the area	fallen logs, bank overhangs,		
of the facility existing at the	rocks and trailing vegetation;		
effective date.	(e) the need to avoid		
	significantly impeding natural		
	flow and drainage;		
	(f) the need to maintain fish		
	passage, where known to exist;		
	(g) the need to avoid land filling		
	of wetlands;		
	(h) the need to group new		
	facilities with existing facilities, where reasonably		
	facilities, where reasonably practical;		
	(i) minimising cut and fill;		
	(j) building design that		
	responds to the particular		
	size, shape, contours or slope		
	of the land;		
	(k) minimising impacts on		
	coastal processes,		
	including sand movement and		
	wave action;		
	(I) minimising the need for		
	future works for the		
	protection of natural assets,		
	infrastructure and		
	property;		
	(m) the environmental best		
	practice guidelines in the		
	Wetlands and Waterways		
	Works Manual; and		
	(n) the guidelines in the Tasmanian Coastal Works		
	Manual.		
	P1.2		
	Buildings and works within the		
	spatial extent of tidal waters		

must be for a use that relies	
upon a coastal location to fulfil its purpose, having regard to: (a) the need to access a specific resource in a coastal location; (b) the need to operate a marine farming shore facility; (c) the need to access infrastructure available in a coastal location; (d) the need to service a marine or coastal related activity; (e) provision of essential utility or marine infrastructure; or (f) provisions of open space or for marine-related educational, research, or recreational facilities. <b>P2.1</b> Buildings and works within a future coastal refugia area must allow for natural coastal processes to continue to occur and avoid or minimise adverse impacts on natural assets, having regard to: (a) allowing for the landward transgression of sand dunes and the landward colonisation of wetlands, saltmarshes and other coastal habitats from adjacent areas; (b) avoiding the creation of barriers or drainage networks that would prevent future tidal inundation; (c) allowing the coastal processes of sand deposition or erosion to continue to occur; (d) the need to group new facilities, where reasonably practical; (e) the impacts on native vegetation; (f) minimising cut and fill; (g) building design that responds to the particular size, shape, contours or slope of the land:	Not Applicable, the property is not within a coastal refugia area.
<ul><li>(f) minimising cut and fill;</li><li>(g) building design that responds to the particular</li></ul>	
	upon a coastal location to fulfil its purpose, having regard to: (a) the need to access a specific resource in a coastal location; (b) the need to operate a marine farming shore facility; (c) the need to access infrastructure available in a coastal location; (d) the need to service a marine or coastal related activity; (e) provision of essential utility or marine infrastructure; or (f) provisions of open space or for marine-related educational, research, or recreational facilities. <b>P2.1</b> Buildings and works within a future coastal refugia area must allow for natural coastal processes to continue to occur and avoid or minimise adverse impacts on natural assets, having regard to: (a) allowing for the landward transgression of sand dunes and the landward colonisation of wetlands, saltmarshes and other coastal habitats from adjacent areas; (b) avoiding the creation of barriers or drainage networks that would prevent future tidal inundation; (c) allowing the coastal processes of sand deposition or erosion to continue to occur; (d) the need to group new facilities with existing facilities, where reasonably practical; (e) the impacts on native vegetation; (f) minimising cut and fill; (g) building design that responds to the particular size, shape, contours or slope of the land; (h) the impacts of sea-level rise on natural coastal

	<b></b>	
	Buildings and works within a future coastal refugia	
	area must allow for natural coastal processes to	
	continue to occur and avoid or	
	minimise adverse	
	impacts on natural assets, having regard to:	
	(a) allowing for the landward	
	transgression of sand dunes and the landward	
	colonisation of	
	wetlands, saltmarshes and	
	other coastal habitats from adjacent areas;	
	(b) avoiding the creation of	
	barriers or drainage	
	networks that would prevent future tidal	
	inundation;	
	(c) allowing the coastal processes of sand	
	deposition or erosion to	
	continue to occur;	
	(d) the need to group new facilities with existing	
	facilities, where reasonably	
	practical; (e) the impacts on native	
	vegetation;	
	(f) minimising cut and fill;	
	(g) building design that responds to the particular	
	size, shape, contours or slope	
	of the land; (h) the impacts of sea-level rise	
	on natural coastal.	
A3 Development within a	P3	The proposal includes as new
Development within a waterway and coastal	Development within a waterway and coastal	The proposal includes no new stormwater discharge points.
protection area or a future	protection area or a future	
coastal refugia area must not involve a new stormwater	coastal refugia area involving a new stormwater point	The proposal meets the Acceptable Solution A3.
point discharge into a	discharge into a watercourse,	
watercourse, wetland or lake.	wetland or lake must avoid or	
	minimise adverse impacts on natural assets, having regard	
	to:	
	(a) the need to minimise impacts on water quality;	
	and	
	(b) the need to mitigate and manage any impacts	
	likely to arise from erosion,	
	sedimentation or	
A4	runoff. P4.1	
Dredging or reclamation must	Dredging or reclamation within	Not applicable, no dredging is
not occur within a	a waterway and	required.
waterway and coastal protection area or a future	coastal protection area or a future coastal refugia	
coastal refugia area.	area must minimise adverse	
	impacts on natural	

	coastal processes and natural assets, having regard	
	to:	
	(a) impacts caused by erosion,	
	siltation, sedimentation and	
	runoff;	
	(b) impacts on riparian or	
	littoral vegetation;	
	(c) the need to avoid land filling	
	of wetlands;	
	(d) impacts on sand movement	
	and wave action;	
	and	
	(e) the potential for increased	
	risk to inundation of	
	adjacent land.	
	P4 2	
	P4.2	
	Dredging or reclamation within a waterway and	
	coastal protection area or a	
	future coastal refugia	
	area must be necessary:	
	(a) to continue an existing use	
	or development on	
	adjacent land; or	
	(b) for a use which relies upon	
	a coastal location to	
	fulfil its purpose, having regard	
	to:	
	(i) the need to access a specific	
	resource in	
	a coastal location;	
	(ii) the need to operate a marine farming	
	shore facility;	
	(iii) the need to access	
	infrastructure	
	available in a coastal location;	
	(iv) the need to service a	
	marine or coastal	
	related activity;	
	(v) provision of essential utility	
	or marine	
	infrastructure; and	
	(vi) provision of open space or	
	for marine related educational,	
	research, or	
	recreational facilities.	
C7.6.2 Clearance within a prio	rity vegetation area	
	tion within a priority vegetation are	ea:
(a) does not result in unreasona	ble loss of priority vegetation;	
· · · · ·	adequately protect identified prior	ity vegetation;
and		
	manages impacts from construction	
Acceptable solutions	Performance Criteria	OFFICER COMMENT
A1	P1.1	Net employed a District
	LI LADRADCA AT NOTIVA VARATOTION	Not applicable, no Priority
	Clearance of native vegetation	
Clearance of native vegetation within a priority vegetation area	within a priority vegetation area	Vegetation is present, the area

on a sealed plan approved under this planning scheme.	(a) an existing use on the site, provided any clearance is contained within the minimum area necessary to be cleared to provide adequate bushfire protection, as recommended by the Tasmania Fire Service or an accredited person;	
	(b) buildings and works associated with the construction of a single dwelling or an associated outbuilding;	
	(c) subdivision in the General Residential Zone or Low Density Residential Zone;	
	(d) use or development that will result in significant long term social and economic benefits and there is no feasible alternative location or design;	
	(e) clearance of native vegetation where it is demonstrated that on-going pre-existing management cannot ensure the survival of the priority vegetation and there is little potential for long-term persistence; or	
	(f) the clearance of native vegetation that is of limited scale relative to the extent of priority vegetation on the site.	
	<b>P1.2</b> Clearance of native vegetation within a priority vegetation area must minimise adverse impacts on priority vegetation, having regard to:	
	(a) the design and location of buildings and works and any constraints such as topography or land hazards;	
	(b) any particular requirements for the buildings and works;	

<ul> <li>(c) minimising impacts resulting from bushfire hazard management measures through siting and fire-resistant design of habitable buildings;</li> <li>(d) any mitigation measures implemented to minimise the residual impacts on priority vegetation;</li> </ul>	
(e) any on-site biodiversity offsets; and	
(f) any existing cleared areas on the site.	

#### CONCLUSION

This report has assessed a Development Application to construct a Boat Ramp & Jetty at land described as 475 Rockmount Road, Ellendale.

One representation was received to Council during the notice period and this has been addressed in this report.

The proposal has been found to comply with all the relevant standards of the Act, the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Central Highlands, and the Central Highland Local Provisional Schedule.

It is recommended that the Development Application be approved and a Permit issued with conditions and advice.

#### PLANNING COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION

This item was considered at the Planning Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 14<sup>th</sup> May 2024 with the Planning Committee making the following recommendation to Council acting as the Planning Authority.

#### **RESOLUTION: 10/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr R Cassidy

#### Seconded: Cr J Honner

**THAT**, in accordance with the provisions of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – *Central Highlands* and section 57 of the *Land Use Planning & Approvals Act 1993*, Council APPROVE the Development Application (DA2024/18) Boat Ramp & Jetty at land described as 475 Rockmount Road, Ellendale (182981/0) submitted by PDA Surveyors and owned by Strata Corporation 182981 "Paradise" and that a permit be issued with the following conditions:

#### General

- 1) The use or development must be carried out substantially in accordance with the application for planning approval, the endorsed drawings and with the conditions of this permit and must not be altered or extended without the further written approval of Council.
- 2) This permit shall not take effect and must not be acted on until 15 days after the date of receipt of this letter or the date of the last letter to any representor, whichever is later, in accordance with section 53 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

#### Amenity

3) No vegetation other than that necessary for the construction of the development, associated access and services is to be cleared without the approval of Council.

#### Services

4) The developer must pay the cost of any alterations and/or reinstatement to existing services, Council infrastructure or private property incurred as a result of the development. Any work required is to be specified or undertaken by the authority concerned.

#### Protection of Water Quality

- 5) A Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP) prepared in accordance with the guidelines *Soil and Water Management on Building and Construction Sites*, by the Derwent Estuary Programme and NRM South, must be approved by Council's Development and Environmental Services before development of the land commences (refer to advice below). The SWMP shall form part of this permit when approved.
- 6) Before any work commences install temporary run-off, erosion and sediment controls in accordance with the recommendations of the approved SWMP and maintain these controls at full operational capacity until the land is effectively rehabilitated and stabilised after completion of the development in accordance with the guidelines *Soil and Water Management on Building and Construction Sites*, by the Derwent Estuary Programme and NRM South and to the satisfaction of Council's Development and Environmental Services.

#### Weed Management

7) Prior to the carrying out of any works approved or required by this approval, the property owner must provide a weed management plan detailing measures to be adopted to limit the spread of weeds listed in the Weed Management Act 1999 through imported soil or land disturbance by appropriate water management and machinery and vehicular hygiene to the satisfaction of Council's Manager of Development & Environmental Services.

#### **Construction Amenity**

8) The development must only be carried out between the following hours unless otherwise approved by the Council's Manager of Development and Environmental Services:

Monday to Friday	7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Saturday	8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Sunday and State-wide public holidays	10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

- 9) All works associated with the development of the land shall be carried out in such a manner so as not to unreasonably cause injury to, or prejudice or affect the amenity, function and safety of any adjoining or adjacent land, and of any person therein or in the vicinity thereof, by reason of:
  - a. Emission of noise, artificial light, vibration, odour, fumes, smoke, vapour, steam, ash, dust, waste water, waste products, grit or otherwise.
  - b. The transportation of materials, goods and commodities to and from the land.
  - c. Obstruction of any public footway or highway.
  - d. Appearance of any building, works or materials.
  - e. Any accumulation of vegetation, building debris or other unwanted material must be disposed of by removal from the site in an approved manner. No burning of such materials on site will be permitted unless approved in writing by the Council's Manager of Development and Environmental Services.
- 10) Public roadways or footpaths must not be used for the storage of any construction materials or wastes, for the loading/unloading of any vehicle or equipment; or for the carrying out of any work, process or tasks associated with the project during the construction period.
- 11) The developer must make good and/or clean any footpath, road surface or other element damaged or soiled by the development to the satisfaction of the Council's Manger of Works and Technical Services.

#### **ADVICE NOTES**

The following advice applies to this permit:

- A. This permit does not take effect until all other approvals required for the use or development to which the permit relates have been granted. Including the relevant approvals from Hydro Tasmanian.
- B. This Planning Permit is in <u>addition</u> to the requirements of the Building Act 2016. Approval in accordance with the Building Act 2016 may be required prior to works commencing. A copy of the Directors Determination categories of Building Work and Demolition Work is available via the CBOS website: <u>Director's Determination Categories of Building and Demolition Work (PDF, 504.4 KB)</u> or for Low Risk Building Work information go to: <u>Consumer Guide to Low Risk Building and Plumbing Work</u>.

- C. This planning approval shall lapse at the expiration of two (2) years from the date of the commencement of planning approval unless the development for which the approval was given has been substantially commenced or extension of time has been granted. Where a planning approval for a development has lapsed, an application for renewal of a planning approval for that development may be treated as a new application.
- D. The issue of this permit does not ensure compliance with the provisions of the Aboriginal Relics Act 1975. If any aboriginal sites or relics are discovered on the land, stop work and immediately contact the Tasmanian Aboriginal Land Council and Aboriginal Heritage Unit of the Department of Tourism, Arts and the Environment. Further work may not be permitted until a permit is issued in accordance with the Aboriginal Relics Act 1975.
- E. The issue of this permit does not ensure compliance with the provisions of the Threatened Species Protection Act 1995 or the Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Protection Act 1999 (Commonwealth). The applicant may be liable to complaints in relation to any non-compliance with these Acts and may be required to apply to the Threatened Species Unit of the Department of Tourism, Arts and the Environment or the Commonwealth Minister for a permit.
- F. The SWMP must show the following:
  - (a) Allotment boundaries, north-point, contours, layout of roads, driveways, building envelopes and reticulated services (including power and telephone and any on-site drainage or water supply), impervious surfaces and types of all existing natural vegetation;
  - (b) Critical natural areas such as drainage lines, recharge area, wetlands, and unstable land;
  - (c) Estimated dates of the start and completion of the works;(d) Timing of the site rehabilitation or landscape program;

  - (e) Details of land clearing and earthworks or trenching and location of soil stockpiles associated with roads, driveways, building sites, reticulated services and fire hazard protection.
  - (f) Arrangements to be made for surface and subsurface drainage and vegetation management in order to prevent sheet and tunnel erosion.
  - (g) Temporary erosion and sedimentation controls to be used on the site.
  - (h) Recommendations for the treatment and disposal of wastewater in accordance with Standards Australia (2000), AS/NZS 1547: On-site wastewater management, Standards Australia, Sydney.
- G. Appropriate temporary control measures include, but are not limited to, the following (refer to brochure attached):
  - Minimise site disturbance and vegetation removal; •
  - Diversion of up-slope run-off around cleared and/or disturbed areas, or areas to be cleared and/or disturbed, provided that such diverted water will not cause erosion and is directed to a legal discharge point (eg. temporarily connected to Council's storm water system, a watercourse or road drain);
  - Sediment retention traps (e.g. sediment fences, straw bales, grass turf filter strips, etc.) at the down slope perimeter of the disturbed area to prevent unwanted sediment and other debris escaping from the land:
  - Sediment retention traps (e.g. sediment fences, straw bales, etc.) around the inlets to the stormwater system to prevent unwanted sediment and other debris blocking the drains;
  - Stormwater pits and inlets installed and connected to the approved stormwater system before the roadwork's are commenced; and
  - Rehabilitation of all disturbed areas as soon as possible.
- A separate application may be required for Signage. Η.

CARRIED

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

Deputy Mayor J Allwright returned to the meeting at 11.03 am.

CARRIED

## 24. ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING RESUMED

## **RESOLUTION: 11/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Hall

Seconded: Cr J Honner

THAT Council no longer act as a Planning Authority and resume the Ordinary Council Meeting.

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## 25. DEVELOPMENT & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

## 25.1 DOG REGISTRATION SCHEDULE OF FEES

#### **Report By**

Graham Rogers, Manager DES

#### Background

In accordance with the Dog Management Policy Council must determine all fees payable under the *Dog Control Act 2000*. The schedule of fees is to be set annually and is to be in line with the financial year, i.e. 1st July to 30th June.

#### **Current Situation**

It is being proposed to apply a 5.1% increase (rounded to the nearest dollar) to the fees for 2024/2025 as follows:

	Paid by 31 July		Paid after 31 J	
	Current	Proposed	Current	Proposed
	2023/24	2024/25	2023/24	2024/25
Domestic Dog (Desexed)	\$24.00	\$25.00	\$46.00	\$48.00
Domestic Dog (not Desexed)	\$46.00	\$48.00	\$78.00	\$82.00
Pensioner (1 <sup>st</sup> dog only)	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$24.00	\$25.00
Working Dog (used for the purpose of working farm stock)	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$24.00	\$25.00
Hunting Dog (used to flush game)	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$24.00	\$25.00
Greyhound (TGRA registered)	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$24.00	\$25.00
Registered Breeding Dog (TCA Registered & Dog Owner holding current membership of the TCA)	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$24.00	\$25.00
Special Assistance Dog (Guide Dog / Hearing Dog)	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Declared Dangerous Dog	\$1,085.00	\$1,711.00	\$1,628.00	\$1,711.00

	Current 2023/24	Proposed 2024/25
Kennel Licence Application Fee	\$56.00	\$59.00
Kennel Licence Renewal Fee	\$35.00	\$37.00
Impounding Reclaim Fee (First Offence)	\$24.00	\$25.00
Impounding Reclaim Fee (Subsequent Offences)	\$46.00	\$48.00
Pound Maintenance Fee	\$13.00 per day	\$14.00 per day

Replacement Tag (Metal Lifetime Tag)	\$7.00	\$7.00
Dog Surrender Fee	\$108.00	\$114.00
Formal Nation of Complaint For	\$54.00	\$57.00
Formal Notice of Complaint Fee	(Refundable)	(Refundable)

## **RESOLUTION: 12/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr R Cassidy

Seconded: Cr A Bailey

THAT Council adopt the following Dog Registration Schedule of Fees for 2024/2025.

Description	Paid by 31 July 2024	Paid after 31 July 2024
Domestic Dog (Desexed)	\$25.00	\$48.00
Domestic Dog (not Desexed)	\$48.00	\$82.00
Pensioner (1 <sup>st</sup> dog only)	\$14.00	\$25.00
Working Dog (used for the purpose of working farm stock)	\$14.00	\$25.00
Hunting Dog (used to flush game)	\$14.00	\$25.00
Greyhound (TGRA registered)	\$14.00	\$25.00
Registered Breeding Dog (TCA Registered & Dog Owner	\$14.00	\$25.00
Special Assistance Dog (Guide Dog / Hearing Dog)	Nil	Nil
Declared Dangerous Dog	\$1,711.00	\$1,711.00
Kennel Licence Application Fee	\$59.00	
Kennel Licence Renewal Fee	\$37.00	
Impounding Reclaim Fee (First Offence)	\$25.00	
Impounding Reclaim Fee (Subsequent Offences)	\$48.00	
Pound Maintenance Fee	\$14.00 per day	
Replacement Tag (Metal Lifetime Tag)	\$7.00	
Dog Surrender Fee	\$114.00	
Formal Notice of Complaint Fee	\$57.00 (Re	fundable)

## CARRIED

## For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

# 25.2 DEVELOPMENT & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES (DES) REPORT

#### Reports By

Graham Rogers, Manager DES

## PLANNING PERMITS ISSUED UNDER DELEGATION

The following planning permits have been issued under delegation during the past month.

#### **NO PERMIT REQUIRED**

DA NO.	APPLICANT	LOCATION	PROPOSAL
2024/00013	P J Gallagher	10539 Highland Lakes Road,	Dwelling Addition (Deck)
		Doctors Point	

## PERMITTED

DA NO.	APPLICANT	LOCATION	PROPOSAL
2024/00022	J L Hampton	10633 Highland Lakes Road, Doctors Point	Visitor Accommodation
2024/00024	Kings Outdoor Living	460 Dry Poles Road, Ellendale	Dwelling Addition (Sunroom)

#### DISCRETIONARY

DA NO.	APPLICANT	LOCATION	PROPOSAL
2024/00021	Bison Construction	(Part Of) Lots 7 & 8 Dawson Road,	Outbuilding (Packing Shed)
		Ouse	

#### ANIMAL CONTROL

Total Number of Dogs Registered in 2022/2023 Financial Year – 968 Total Number of Kennel Licences Issued for 2022/2023 Financial Year – 29

2023/2024 Dog Registration & Kennel Licence Renewals were issued and due by 31 July 2023.

2023/2024 Statistics as of 14 May 2024	
Number of Dogs Impounded during last month	3
Number of Dogs Currently Registered         977	
Number of Dogs Pending Re-Registration 11	
Number of Kennel Licences	35

Infringement Notices have now been issued for the dogs pending re-registration.

## **RESOLUTION: 13/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Hall

Seconded: Cr J Honner

**THAT** the Development & Environmental Services Report be received.

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## 26. WORKS & SERVICES

## 26.1 WORKS & SERVICES MONTHLY REPORT – APRIL 2024

#### **Report By**

Jason Branch, Works & Services Manager

#### Background

The following activities were performed during April 2024 by Works & Services -

Grading & Sheeting	Dawson Road

CARRIED

Maintenance Grading	Dennistoun Road, Interlaken Road, 14 Mile Road, Some on	
	Victoria Valley Road, Bronte Heights Road, Bronte Estate	
	Road, Bronte Lagoon Road, Bradys Lake Road, Woodwards	
Potholing / shouldering	Road Interlaken Road, Dennistoun Road,	
Spraying:	Spot spraying Bothwell township	
Culverts / Drainage:	Clean culverts Meadsfield and Torhill Roads	
	Repair culvert Meadsfield Road	
	Clean culverts McGuires Marsh Road Clean culverts Lanes Tier Road	
	Clean culverts Victoria Valley until the Bashan Road Road	
	Clean culverts 14 Mile Road	
	Replace broken culvert Lanes Tier Road	
	Install 2 new culverts McGuires Marsh	
Occupational Health and cofety	Extend 2 x culverts Bashan Road	
Occupational Health and safety	<ul><li>Monthly Toolbox Meetings</li><li>Day to day JSA and daily prestart check lists</li></ul>	
	completed.	
	<ul> <li>Monthly workplace inspections completed.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Playground inspections</li> </ul>	
Bridges:	Placement of deck onto Wentworth Creek Bridge 14 Mile	
	Road, Works continue	
Refuse / recycling sites:	Cover Hamilton Tip twice weekly	
Other:	Repair water break at Hamilton Rec Ground	
	Repair water leak Hamilton Works Depot	
	Install drainage grates at Hamilton Toilet Break	
	Edge Breaks Hollow Tree Road Repair sign Hollow Tree Road	
	Trim vegetation Meadowbank Bridge	
	Thousand Acre Lane Construction works continue	
	Install concealed entrance signs Pelham	
	Replace Bannisters Road sign	
	Place Bushfest sign at Melton Mowbray Set up and clean up memorials for ANZAC Day services	
	Install new table Ouse Park	
	Concrete slab at Ellendale ready for new park seating	
	Replace Road Mirror William Street	
Slashing:	Ransleys Road	
C	Quinns Road	
	Dawson Road	
	Dillions Road Coopers Road	
	Marriots Road	
	Sections of Ellendale Road	
	Wiggs Road	
<u> </u>	Jones River Road	
Municipal Town Maintenance:	Collection of town rubbish twice weekly	
	<ul> <li>Maintenance of parks, cemetery, recreation ground and Caravan Park.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Cleaning of public toilets, gutters, drains and</li> </ul>	
	footpaths.	
	Collection of rubbish twice weekly	
	<ul> <li>Cleaning of toilets and public facilities</li> </ul>	
	General maintenance	
	Mowing of towns and parks	
	Town Drainage	
Buildings:	Construction of new toilets at Ouse	

Plant:	PM687 Western Star truck service and repairs PM720 Dog trailer new tyres PM815 Service and new brake pads to Triton ute PM705 Mack Truck new radiator New cutting edges for grader PM740 Hino truck electric window repairs PM824 Toyota Hilux new battery Nassan x trail service and new tyres PM748 Hino truck 200,000kn service	
Private Works:	PM717 Dog trailer repairs	
i iivate works.		
Casuals	Toilets, rubbish and Hobart	
	Hamilton general duties	
Program for next 4 weeks	Old Mans Head widening, Black Spot Funding	
	Wentworth Creek Bridge widening to continue	
	Continue with Thousand Acre Lane reconstruction	

## **RESOLUTION: 14/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr A Bailey

Seconded: Cr J Hall

THAT the Works & Services monthly report for April 2024 be received.

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

## 27. ADMINISTRATION SERVICES

Jason Branch (Works and Services Manager) and Graham Rogers (Development & Environmental Services Manager) left the meeting at 11.21 a.m.

## 27.1 MONTHLY FINANCE REPORT TO 30 APRIL 2024

#### **Report by**

Katrina Brazendale, Executive Assistant

#### Background

The monthly finance report to 30 April 2024 are below for Councillors reference.

CARRIED

Comprehensive Income Statement										
At 30 April 2024										
Actual to Date	Budget 2023-2024	Variation from YTD Budget %	Comments							
<b><i>ФА 477 4 40</i></b>	¢4,400,000	00/								
\$4,477,140	\$4,469,863	0%								
\$527,720	\$355,450	58%								
\$274,432	\$124,860	130%								
\$659,891	\$453,200	56%								
\$3,031,386	\$2,998,566		FAGs received Jun 2023 for 2023/24							
\$8,970,570	\$8,401,939	17%								
\$2,224,394	\$2,553,663	(3)%								
\$2,156,690	\$2,012,016	17%								
\$1,459,840	\$1,715,852	(5)%								
\$1,606,545	\$2,260,000	(19)%								
7,447,469	8,541,531	(3)%								
1 522 100	(120 502)									
	At 3	At 30 April 2024         Actual to Date       Budget 2023-2024         \$4,477,140       \$4,469,863         \$527,720       \$355,450         \$527,720       \$355,450         \$274,432       \$124,860         \$659,891       \$453,200         \$3,031,386       \$2,998,566         \$3,031,386       \$2,998,566         \$22,224,394       \$2,553,663         \$2,156,690       \$2,012,016         \$1,459,840       \$1,715,852         \$1,606,545       \$2,260,000         \$7,447,469       8,541,531	At 30 April 2024         Variation from YTD Budget %           Actual to Date         Budget 2023-2024         Variation from YTD Budget %           \$4,477,140         \$4,469,863         0%           \$527,720         \$355,450         58%           \$527,720         \$355,450         58%           \$274,432         \$124,860         130%           \$659,891         \$453,200         56%           \$3,031,386         \$2,998,566         17%           \$3,031,386         \$2,998,566         17%           \$3,031,386         \$2,998,566         17%           \$3,031,386         \$2,998,566         17%           \$3,031,386         \$2,998,566         17%           \$3,031,386         \$2,998,566         17%           \$3,031,386         \$2,998,566         17%           \$3,031,386         \$2,998,566         17%           \$3,031,386         \$2,2553,663         (3)%           \$2,156,690         \$2,012,016         17%           \$1,459,840         \$1,715,852         (5)%           \$1,606,545         \$2,260,000         (19)%           \$1,606,545         \$2,260,000         (19)%           \$1,606,545         \$2,260,000         (19)%							

Rates Reconciliation as at 30 April 2024							
	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>					
Rates in Debit 30th June	\$100,036.35	\$135,606.82					
Rates in Credit 30th June	-\$139,127.10	-\$171,244.88					
Balance 30th June	-\$39,090.75	-\$35,638.06					
Rates Raised	\$4,120,043.73	\$4,486,365.49					
Penalties Raised	\$34,871.17	\$42,213.36					
Supplementaries/Debit Adjustments	\$42,388.20	\$21,061.41					
Total Raised	\$4,158,212.35	\$4,549,640.26					
Less:							
Receipts to Date	\$3,848,266.77	\$4,082,711.47					
Pensioner Rate Remissions	\$110,356.31	\$119,626.72					
Remissions/Supplementary Credits	\$58,821.82	\$18,651.14					
Balance	\$140,767.45	\$293,012.87					



ate	Details	Budget	Australia Day, ANZAC Day, Hamilton Show	Childrens Services	Community Grants \ Donations	Event Development and Sponsorship	Further Education Bursaries and School Support	General Items	Church Grants	Tourism	TOTAL
	Community & Economic Development Support	\$4,133									L
	Support/Donations	\$2,902									
	Further Education Bursaries	\$1,800									
	Central Highlands School Support	\$2,600									
	Anzac Day	\$6,000									
	Hamilton Show	\$5,000									
	Australia Day	\$2,214									
	Church Grants	\$5,000									
	Suicide Prevention Program	\$2,000									
	Anglers Alliance Sponsorship	\$3,000									
	Royal Flying Doctor Service	\$1,000									
	Youth Activities	\$5,000									T
	Australiasian Golf Museum contribution to pow er	\$5,000		1		1	1				1
	South Central Region Projects	\$5,000		1		1	1				1
	Local Govt Shared Services Project	\$2,000		1	1	1				1	T
	200 Years of Hamilton Celebration	\$40,000									1
	Health & Wellbeing Plan Implementation	\$5,000									1
	Visitors Centre	\$5,000									1
	Grant assistance	\$15,000									
	Design/concept contractors - Grants	\$25,000									
	Healthy Connect Project	\$10,000									
	Highlands Digest Support	\$0									
	Contribution Children's Services Bothwell	\$500									
31/07/2023	Brighton Family Day Care	\$5,000		5,000.00							5,00
10/08/2023	Aussie Table Tennis - w heelchair	\$1,291			1,290.91						
17/08/2023	Lions Club of Hobart	\$360						360.00			36
	Highlands Digest Support	\$10,800						10,800.00			10,80
5/10/2023	Great Lake Volunteer Fire Brigade	\$867			867.00	)					86
27/08/2023	End of year school aw ards	\$400					400.00				
	Cameras for Gretna Rec Ground	\$2,683			2,683.00	)					2,68
	Rotary Club of Hobart - Magic Show	\$255						254.55			25
	Great Lake Tie-In Assn	\$1,000			1,000.00	)					1,00
	Menzies Institute - Cancer Research Donation	\$500			-			500.00			50
	Bothw ell Cricket Club - Telstra Wifi	\$160			160.00	)					16
	Smithaw ards - Australia Day Trophies	\$286	286.36	i							28
	Gretna Volunteer Fire Brigade	\$850			850.00	)					85
	Travis Rust Education Bursary	\$350					350.00				35
	Tas Highlands Gathering	\$1,000			1,000.00	)					1,00
	Internet - Gretna Cricket Club	\$320			-			320.00			
	Highlands Digest	\$89			0.000.00			88.89			+
	Bothw ell District High School Breakfast Club	\$2,800 \$250			2,800.00			250.00			
	Gretna Cricket Club Trophie Donation	\$250	ł	1	<u> </u>	1	ł			1	1
	Immune Deficiencies Fund Midlands Multi-Purpose Health Centre	\$382		1	<u> </u>	1		381.82 500.00			+
		\$500	ł	1	<u> </u>	1	ł	1,000.00		1	+
	The Pancreatic Cancer Foundation Dementia Australia	\$1,000			<u> </u>		1	500.00		1	1
	Dementia Australia Donation towards Autumn Festival	\$500	1	1	<u> </u>	1	1	500.00		1,000.0	6
	Dee Lagoon Fishing Club Clean-up	\$1,000	1	1	1	1	1	1,000.00		1,000.0	1
	ANZAC Day Flow ers	\$827	827.27		1		1	1,000.00		1	+
	Bothwell Family Fun Day	\$1.072	021.21	1.072.00		1	1			1	+
6/05/2024	Dourne on Formy Tull Day	ψ1,072	1	1,072.00		1	1			1	1
			1,113.63	6,072.00	10,650.91	0.00	750.00	15,955.26	0.00	1,000.0	0 35,54
			1,110.00	0,012.00	. 0,000.01	0.00	100.00	.5,555.20	5.00	1,000.0	

	BANK ACCOUNT B	ALANCES	AS AT 30 Apri	12024			
					BALANCE		
		Investment	Current Interest				
No.	Bank Accounts	Period	Rate %	Due Date	2023	2024	
11100	Cash at Bank and on Hand						
11105	Bank 01 - Commonwealth - General Trading Account				649,175.87	1,613,439.91	
11106	Bank 02 - Westpac - Direct Deposit Account				337,288.54	252,678.98	
11110	Petty Cash				350.00	350.00	
11115	Floats				200.00	200.00	
11199	TOTAL CASH AT BANK AND ON HAND				987,014.41	1,866,668.89	
11200	Investments						
11207	Bank 05	30 Days	4.36%	27/05/2024	-	2,650,021.06	
11207	Bank 06	30 Days			2,041,681.64	-	
11214	Tascorp	183 Days	4.60%	21/06/2024	79,599.12	82,259.93	
11216	Bank 16	60 Days	4.55%	12/06/2024	4,103,273.72	1,744,237.70	
11299	TOTAL INVESTMENTS				6,224,554.48	4,476,518.69	
	TOTAL BANK ACCOUNTS AND CASH ON HAND				9,316,681.43	6,343,187.58	



## **RESOLUTION: 15/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Honner

Seconded: Cr Y Miller

THAT the Monthly Finance Report to 30 April 2024 be received.

## CARRIED

## For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.
# 27.2 ANNUAL LEAVE POLICY 2014-28

#### **Report by**

Adam Wilson, Deputy General Manager

#### Background

The Audit Panel at its meeting on the 6 May 2024 agreed to recommend that Council rescind Policy No. 2014-28 Annual Leave Policy as the policy is covered in the Central Highlands Council Enterprise Agreement 2023 under clause 8.1.

# **RESOLUTION: 16/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr D Meacheam

Seconded: Cr Y Miller

**THAT** Council rescind Policy No. 2014-28 Annual Leave Policy as the policy is covered in the Central Highlands Council Enterprise Agreement 2023 under clause 8.1.

#### CARRIED

CARRIED

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

# 27.3 HEALTHY CATERING POLICY 2014-25

#### Report by

Adam Wilson, Deputy General Manager

#### Background

The current policy has been in place since June 2020 and it provides a framework to ensure that members of the Central Highlands Council community, staff, volunteers and visitors have the opportunity to access healthy food and drink choices when attending council operated facilities and workplaces and at council sponsored events.

Council officers have reviewed Policy No. 2014 - 25 Healthy Catering Policy and no changes are required as the aims and principles of this policy are still to increase the availability of healthy food and drink choices and to encourage and support the community to make food and drink choices that will impact positively on health.

The Audit Panel at its meeting on the 6 May 2024 agreed to recommend that Council approve Policy No. 2014-25 Healthy Catering Policy.

#### **RESOLUTION: 17/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Honner

Seconded: Cr A Bailey

THAT Council approve Policy No. 2014-25 Healthy Catering Policy.

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

73

# 27.4 RELATED PARTY DISCLOSURES POLICY 2017-46

#### Report by

Adam Wilson, Deputy General Manager

#### Background

The previous Related Party Disclosures Policy was approved by Council in March 2021 and the intent of this revised policy is to review any required changes in the legislation.

The policy outlines what is expected of elected members and staff of Council in relation to Australian Accounting Standard AASB 124 Related Party Disclosures (AASB 124). It also provides procedures for Council to collect, store, manage and report on related party relationships, transactions and commitments.

The Audit Panel at its meeting on the 6 May 2024 agreed to recommend that Council approve Policy No. 2017-46 Related Party Disclosures Policy.

#### **RESOLUTION: 18/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr A Bailey

Seconded: Cr J Honner

THAT Council approve Policy No. 2017-46 Related Party Disclosures Policy.

## For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

# 27.5 ASSET MANAGEMENT POLICY 2018-53

#### **Report by**

Adam Wilson, Deputy General Manager

#### Background

The previous asset management policy was approved by Council in May 2022.

This policy has been produced to set guidelines for implementing consistent asset management processes throughout the Central Highlands Council.

The policy has been developed to ensure provision is made for the long-term replacement of major assets by:

- Ensuring that Council's services and infrastructure are provided in a sustainable manner, with the appropriate levels of service to residents, visitors and the environment;
- Safeguarding Council assets including physical assets and employees by implementing appropriate asset management strategies and appropriate financial resources for those assets;
- Creating an environment where all Council employees take an integral part in the overall management of Council assets by creating and sustaining an asset management awareness throughout the organisation by training and development;
- Meeting legislative requirements for asset management;
- Ensuring resources and operational capabilities are identified and responsibility for asset management is allocated.

CARRIED

The Audit Panel at its meeting on the 6 May 2024 agreed to recommend that Council approve Policy No. 2018-53 Asset Management Policy.

# **RESOLUTION**: 19/05.2024/C

Moved: Cr Y Miller

Seconded: Cr D Meacheam

THAT Council approve Policy No. 2018-53 Asset Management Policy.

#### For the Motion

CARRIED

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

# 27.6 CODE OF CONDUCT FOR MEMBERS OF THE AUDIT PANEL POLICY 2018-55

### Report by

Adam Wilson, Deputy General Manager

## Background

The previous code of conduct for members of the audit panel policy was approved by Council in May 2022.

This code of conduct sets out the standards of behaviour expected of the Central Highland Council's Audit Panel members (members). The standards support the characteristics of good governance outlined in the Good Governance Guide for Local Government in Tasmania (reference below).

As an independent source of scrutiny in the interests of the community, the Audit Panel provides checks and balances on key Council activities and a means of highlighting issues that require strategic attention.

Councillors who are members of the Audit Panel are in a unique position and having an obligation to maintain an Audit Panel perspective in the interests of the community when they discharge their duties as Audit Panel members, ie they must display independence of mind, separate from their role as a Councillor.

In performing their role on the Central Highlands Council's Audit Panel, and in acting in the best interests of the community, all members of the Central Highlands Council Audit Panel commit to the standards within the policy.

The Audit Panel at its meeting on the 6 May 2024 agreed to recommend that Council approve Policy No. 2018-55 Code of Conduct for Members of the Audit Panel.

## **RESOLUTION: 20/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr D Meacheam

Seconded: Deputy Mayor J Allwright

THAT Council approve Policy No. 2018-55 Code of Conduct for Members of the Audit Panel.

#### CARRIED

# For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

# 27.7 CENTRAL HIGHLANDS COUNCIL FINANCIAL AUDIT STRATEGY

#### **Report by**

Adam Wilson, Deputy General Manager

## Background

The Tasmanian Audit Office provide Council with the Central Highlands Council Financial Audit Strategy for the year ending the 30 June 2024. A draft was presented to and discussed with Central Highlands Council's Audit Panel at a meeting on 6 May 2024.

This Financial Audit Strategy outlines the Tasmanian Audit Office risk-based approach to the audit of the financial report of Central Highlands Council for the year ending 30 June 2024. The Tasmanian Audit Office risk identification and assessment process is iterative and dynamic.

The Tasmanian Audit Office understanding of Council and its environment, the reporting framework, and system of internal control are fundamental to our identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement in the financial report. This understanding also enables the Tasmanian Audit Office to design and implement audit procedures to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement.

The audit of the financial report is carried out under section 18 of the Audit Act 2008. The primary objective of the audit is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial report as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, so as to enable us to express an opinion on whether the financial report is prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.

## **RESOLUTION: 21/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Honner

Seconded: Cr J Hall

**THAT** Council endorse the Tasmanian Audit Office Financial Audit Strategy for the year ending the 30 June 2024.

## CARRIED

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

Cr A Bailey declared an interest and left the meeting at 11.27 a.m.

# 27.8 RATE ASSISTANCE – OUSE COMMUNITY COUNTRY CLUB

#### Report by

Kat Cullen, Community Development Officer

## Purpose

The purpose of this report is to consider a rate assistance request from Ouse Community Country Club for 2023/2024, submitted via the Community Grants process.

#### Background

Ouse Community Country Club is a volunteer-run organisation. Providing relief for rates will allow the organisation to continue to serve the local community and provide a valuable sporting and social facility in the town.

Council has previously assisted the Club with a 50% reduction in rates in the following financial years: 2011, 2014, 2016,2018, 2019 and 2023. Ouse Community Country Club is comprised of two properties; one being the Bowls Green and Club house; the other being Golf Course and Sheds. The current rates are as follows:

Property Number 01-0810-03938 – (the Bowls Green & Club House)

General Rate: \$994.76 Garbage Rate: \$592.00 Fire Levy Rate: \$57.87 Total Rates: \$1,644.63

### Property Number 01-0805-03937 (Golf Course & Sheds)

General Rate: \$885.81 Garbage Rate: \$192.00 Fire Levy Rate: \$48.00 Total Rates: \$1125.81

The Community Grants budget allocation has sufficient funds available to cover this request.

# **RESOLUTION: 22/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Honner

Seconded: Cr Y Miller

THAT Council grant a remission of the following:

- 1. \$497.38 being 50% of the general rate on Property No 01-0810-03938; and
- 2. \$634.91 being 50% general rate and total garbage charge on Property No 01-0805-03937.

## CARRIED

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

Cr A Bailey returned to the meeting at 11.28 a.m.

Cr R Cassidy declared an interest and left the meeting at 11.28 a.m.

# 27.9 IN KIND SUPPORT – BOTHWELL LIONS CLUB

#### **Report by**

Kat Cullen, Community Development Officer

#### Purpose

The purpose of this report is to consider a request for in-kind support from Bothwell Lions Club Theatre group for photocopying and Bothwell Hall Hire associated with their upcoming inaugural production.

#### Background

The Bothwell Theatre Group is a newly created community group which comes under the umbrella of the Bothwell Lions Club. The inaugural performance – 'Can I ignore you later?' is a comedy written and directed by a local playwright, Linda Boulter.

The group are holding auditions commencing 16 June in the Bothwell Freemasons Lodge. Most of the rehearsals will be held in the Freemason Lodge. The Bothwell Community Hall is also required to be used for 6 occasions between 11-25 August for dress rehearsals, set-up, performance, and clean-up.

The group will charge a small fee for tickets to the performance, with all proceeds to be used as fundraising for Lions Club Theatre Group future activities in the town.

The Bothwell Theatre Group are requesting in-kind support from Council for colour printing. This is for audition promotion, scripts, tickets, schedules, and performance promotion. The total costs of the printing will be approximately \$424 if charged at the rate prescribed in fees and charges schedule.

In accordance with Council's fees and charges, there will be no cost for hire of the Bothwell Hall as this is a fundraising activity for a local not-for-profit group.

This in-kind request would be costed against the Community and Economic Development budget.

# **RESOLUTION: 23/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr J Honner

Seconded: Cr Y Miller

**THAT** Council approve in-kind request of up to \$424 for printing costs and fee waiver for Bothwell Hall Hire associated with the production of Can I Ignore You later? by the Bothwell Lions Theatre Group and support an agreement be drafted which outlines the support being offered and any conditions or requirements of Council's support.

#### CARRIED

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

Cr R Cassidy returned to the meeting at 11.29 a.m

#### **RESOLUTION: 24/05.2024/C**

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA ITEMS

#### Moved: Cr R Cassidy

28.

#### Seconded: Cr D Meacheam

**THAT** the Council resolve by absolute majority to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the General Manager in accordance with the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

In accordance with the requirements of Part 2 Regulation 8 (6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Council by absolute majority may decide to deal with a matter that is not on the agenda if, where the General Manager has reported either:

- a) The reason it was not possible to include the matter on the agenda;
- b) That the matter is urgent; or

c) That advice of a qualified person has been obtained and taken into account in providing advice to Council under Section 65 of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

#### CARRIED

#### For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.

#### 28.1 NOTICE OF MOTION – CR D MEACHEAM

Under Division 2 – Motions, Section 16 (5) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, a Councillor may give to the General Manager, at least 7 days before a meeting, written notice of a motion, together with supporting information and reasons, to be included on the agenda of that meeting.

#### Date of Meeting:

May 21, 2024

#### **Councillor Name:**

David Meacheam

#### **Proposed Motion:**

That Council instigate the levying of financial charges in relation to renewable energy operations in the Central Highlands. The Victorian PiLOR system, Payments In Lieu Of Rates, is recommended as the basis of such charges.

#### **Background Details:**

The following is notable in relation to this motion:

- 1) In its policy deliberations, LGAT notes that on the mainland the operation of such a system of charges has not dented the economic viability of renewable energy operations.
- 2) That renewable energy operations in the Central Highlands will feed into the national energy market. The implementation of charges in the Central Highlands will not disadvantage operators relative to those operating in Victoria.
- 3) Reliance upon the PiLOR approach will enable ready understanding of the charging mechanisms and the indexation of the charges payable.

4) It is suggested that not-for-profit, community managed renewable energy producers will pay concessional charges.

#### Attachments:

Attachment 1: Supp Agenda Meacheam notice of motion for RE charges May 2024

Signature:	David Meacheam
Date:	3/5/24

## **RESOLUTION: 25/05.2024/C**

Moved: Cr D Meacheam

Seconded: Cr R Cassidy

**THAT** Council instigate the levying of financial charges in relation to renewable energy operations in the Central Highlands. The Victorian PiLOR system, Payments In Lieu Of Rates, is recommended as the basis of such charges.

#### CARRIED

## For the Motion

Mayor L Triffitt, Deputy Mayor J Allwright; Cr A Archer; Cr A Bailey; Cr R Cassidy; Cr J Hall; Cr J Honner; Cr D Meacheam; and Cr Y Miller.



# NOTICE OF MOTION

Under Division 2 – Motions, Section 16 (5) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, a Councillor may give to the General Manager, at least 7 days before a meeting, written notice of a motion, together with supporting information and reasons, to be included on the agenda of that meeting.

Date of Meeting:	May 21, 2024				
Councillor Name:	David Meacheam				
Proposed Motion:	That Council instigate the levying of financial charges in relation to renewable energy operations in the Central Highlands. The Victorian PiLOR system, Payments In Lieu Of Rates, is recommended as the basis of such charges.				
Background Details:	<ol> <li>The following is notable in relation to this motion:         <ol> <li>In its policy deliberations, LGAT notes that on the mainland the operation of such a system of charges has not dented the economic viability of renewable energy operations.</li> <li>That renewable energy operations in the Central Highlands will feed into the national energy market. The implementation of charges in the Central Highlands will not disadvantage operators relative to those operating in Victoria.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Reliance upon the PiLOR approach will enable ready understanding of the charging mechanisms and the indexation of the charges payable.</li> <li>It is suggested that not-for-profit, community managed renewable energy producers will pay concessional charges.</li> </ol>				
Signature:	David Meacheam				
Date:	3/5/24				

#### **Payment in Lieu of Rates**

Information for Local Government Authorities and Renewable Energy Generators

#### What is Payment in Lieu of Rates?

Established under section 94(6A) of the Electricity industry Act 2000 (EI ACT), the Payment in Lieu of Bates (PLoB) framework allows for councils and electricity generators to negotiate annual payments.

A methodology currently exists under section 94(GA) of the EI Act for estimating payments and applies to all coal, gas, hydro, and wind generators. In addition, solar has been added as a defined energy source so that councils can use the methodology to estimate payments for all solar generators coming online now and in the future.

The methodology combines a fixed charge with a variable charge based on the capacity of the power station in megawatts. The fixed charge in PY2018-19 is 554,400 and the variable charge is 51,225 per megawatt (MW). A 50 MW solar farm, for example, would pay \$115,650 under the methodology.

If a generator operates below 20 per cent average capacity factor in any financial year, it can receive a discount under the methodology. This could apply to some large scale solar generators as solar efficiency is close to this threshold.

If a 50 MW solar farm operated with an average capacity factor of less than 20 per cent, but greater than 10 per cent, then a discount of 25 per cent applies, and the solar farm would therefore pay 506,738. If a operated at less than 10 per cent, for example because the generator was offline for an extended period, then a discount of 50 per cent applies, and the solar farm would therefore pay \$57,825.

#### A new methodology for community and commercial solar and wind generators

A new methodology has been introduced specifically for community oriented and smaller commercial solar and wind generators. A threshold of 25 MW has been set for these types of generators, whereby ainy solar or wind generator greater in size must use the first methodology.

The new methodology includes a new definition for community generators that is intended to be broad enough to encompass the various ways in which a community project is owned and operated for the benefit of the local community. These projects may be developed in partnership with a commercial entity, but the community owned portion must be at least 20 per cent, and revenues from the electricity generator that are distributed to the local community must be equal to the proportion of ownership.

#### How the new methodology works

The PiLoR methodology sets a payment rate per megawatt hour (MWM) generated by a power station with a nameplate capacity of up to 25 MW, that is indexed to inflation. The established rates are as follows:

For a commercial solar or wind generator: \$1.12 per MWh generated, or \$7,500, whichever is greater in each year; or

For a community solar or wind generator: \$0.56 per MWh generated, or \$5,000, whichever is greater in each year.

The minimum rate of 57,500 for a commercial generator up to 25 MW capacity, and 55,000 for a community owned generator up to 25 MW capacity applies where actual generation is less than expected, for example in a year where a generator is offline. This ensures that councils still receive some revenue that contributes to local services.

Prior to the introduction of the new methodology, a smallscale 10 MW wind generator for example would pay \$06,850 in FY2018-19. Under the new methodology the 10 MW wind generator would pay \$33,358, about half of what it would have previously paid under the previous methodology.

#### How councils should use the new methodology

To calculate expected payments for a relevant generator, an estimate of generation for the year is required at the beginning of the period, which will be reconciled with actual generation that occurred that year in the calculation for the next period.

Under this approach a rates notice should only need to be issued once for each period, and it will ensure that payments will be balanced from date of commission to decommission of a generator and compensate for any periods of inactivity or lower than expected generation.



#### **Payment in Lieu of Rates**

uncils should seek an estimate of generation for the period The wind farm therefore owes the council \$452 for the from the generator. If the generator is unable to provide one, previous period, plus the estimated amount for the current the council can calculate an estimate using the average capacity factor of generator, or the industry average of the energy source (22 per cent for solar and 34 per cent for wind<sup>1</sup>

The formula for calculating an estimate of generation is:

Nameplate capacity (MW) x average capacity factor (per cent) x 8,760 (hours in a year)

The calculated generation is then multiplied by the established rate (depending on the type of ownership) to determine annual payments for the generator.

#### Example: Community Wind Farm

CWF Renewables has constructed a 10 MW wind farm near Ballarat. The project is 100 per cent owned by the community. The organisation wishes to use the PiLoR methodology for rate payments and advises the council accordingly. The project is scheduled for commission half way through the 2018-19 financial year, on 1 January 2019.

As a community owned project, the new methodology for community generators should be used. The community wind farm is not sure of how much electricity it will generate in the first year of operation. Ballarat City Council must therefore ate the expected amount for the year, and does this calcu using an average capacity factor for a typical windfarm:

10 MW x 34 per cent x 4,380 hours (1 January 2020 - 30 June 2020) = 14,892 MWh

The council then multiples the calculated output by the rate for community generators and issues an invoice to the generator for the following amount:

14,892 MWh x \$0.56 = \$8,340

The above amount is paid by the generator within that

In the next year, 2019-2020, the council continues to estimate the amount to be paid using the same formula for the full year, but also needs to add or subtract any difference between estimated and actual generation that occurred in the first year of operation

The actual generation of the wind farm in its first year was <u>https://www.energy.vic.gov.au/renewable-</u> The actual generation of the wink tarm in the point work way 5,700 MWh, slightly higher than the estimated 14,892 MWh. The amount that should have been paid was \$8,792, although the wind farm paid \$8,340 in that period.

period:

10 MW x 34 per cent x 8,760 hours x \$0.56 = \$16,679; plus \$452 = \$17,131.

This approach continues every year of operation thereafter, whereby the council adds or subtracts the difference between estimated and actual generation in the previous year. Note that the rates increase each year according to Melbourne Consumer Price Index and should be applied in

each year What generators should do

To assist councils, generators should provide an estimate of electricity generation for the financial year where possible, or at least an estimate of the efficiency (average capacity factor) of the power station. Generators are also responsible for ing councils are properly applying the methodology every period.

Additional assistance is available by contacting your **DELWP** regional engagement teams

DELWP Region	Contact name	Contact phone		
Berwon South West	Ross Martin	0457 533 902		
Gippeland	Jadie Simpson Rob Dimory	0418 397 131 0427 592 006		
Grampiant	Geoff Miller (Winners Southern Mallee) Tim Hudspith (Central Highlands)	0428 599 927 0429 963 056		
Hume	Chelses Cherry	0439 315 410		
Loddon Mallee	Erin Baxter Dona Cayetana	0423 125 539 0456 629 698		
Port Phillip	Peter Merritt	0418 124 343		

Peter Merritt This fact sheet and additional information is available at:

energy/community-energy

Estimate based on assumptions outlined in EY 2017, Modeling of the Victorian
 Menewate Energy Target scheme schemics, walkine at:
 %://est-ecoeling-recon4//681 pdf

The following is extracted from https://www.energy.vic.gov.au/renewableenergy/payment-in-lieu-of-rates-for-electricity-generators.#

# Payment in lieu of rates for electricity generators

A methodology that allows councils and electricity generators to negotiate payments in lieu of rates.

Established under section 94 of the Electricity Industry Act 2000, the payment in lieu of rates (PiLoR) framework allows electricity generators to negotiate payments made to local councils.

It includes a methodology under section 94(6A) to assist in calculating these payments. For most generators, the methodology includes both a fixed and variable component, the latter based on the nameplate capacity of the power station.

For community and smaller commercial solar and wind generators up to 25 MW capacity, the

methodology sets a variable charge only based on electricity generation sent to the grid (subject to a minimum amount payable). This aims to encourage more community projects and lower access costs for smaller renewable generators, while ensuring councils receive enough revenue to help fund local services.

The methodology indexes the PiLoR charges each financial year. Further information is available below:

# Indexed amounts for payment in lieu of rates charges

Electricity generators

CSV 159 BYTES

PiLoR charge component	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24
Fixed charge	\$54400	\$56312	\$56790	\$59372	\$63435
Variable charge (per MW)	\$1235	\$1268	\$1279	\$1337	\$1428

Solar and wind generators with a nameplate capacity of up to 25 Megawatts (MW)

Row 1 relates to commercial generators minimum amount payable \$7,500

Row 2 relates to community minimum amount payable \$5,000

Payment per megawatt hour (MWh) generated

CSV 138 BYTES

Generator type	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24
Commercial < 7.5k	\$1.13	\$1.16	\$1.17	\$1.22	\$1.31
Commercial < 5k	0.56	0.56	0.58	0.61	0.65

Payment in lieu of rates - for electricity generators fact sheetPDF577.2 KB

Payment in lieu of rates - Government Gazette GG2024G009PDF241.7 KB

Page last updated: 17/04/24

# 29. CLOSURE

Mayor Triffitt thanked everyone for their contribution and declared the meeting closed at 11.45 a.m.

Signed as Confirmed:

Mayor L Triffitt

Dated: 18 June 2024